Mathematics Framework for the 2017 National Assessment of Educational Progress



National Assessment Governing Board U.S. Department of Education

WHAT IS NAEP?

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a continuing and nationally representative measure of trends in academic achievement of U.S. elementary and secondary students in various subjects. For nearly four decades, NAEP assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and other subjects. By collecting and reporting information on student performance at the national, state, and local levels, NAEP is an integral part of our nation's evaluation of the condition and progress of education.

THE 2016–2017 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT GOVERNING BOARD

The National Assessment Governing Board was created by Congress to formulate policy for NAEP. Among the Governing Board's responsibilities are developing objectives and test specifications and designing the assessment methodology for NAEP.

Members

Terry Mazany, Chair President and CEO The Chicago Community Trust Chicago, Illinois

Lucille E. Davy, Vice Chair

President and CEO Transformative Education Solutions, LLC Pennington, New Jersey

Alberto Carvalho Superintendent Miami-Dade County Public Schools Miami, Florida

Honorable Mitchell D. Chester Commissioner of Elementary and Secondary Education Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Malden, Massachusetts

Frank K. Fernandes Principal Kaimuki Middle School Honolulu, Hawaii

Honorable Anitere Flores Senator Florida Senate Miami, Florida

Rebecca Gagnon School Board Member Minneapolis Public Schools Minneapolis, Minnesota

Shannon Garrison Fourth-Grade Teacher Solano Avenue Elementary School Los Angeles, California Honorable James Geringer Director of Policy and Public Sector Strategies Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) Cheyenne, Wyoming

Doris R. Hicks Principal and CEO Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Charter School for Science and Technology New Orleans, Louisiana

Andrew Dean Ho Professor Harvard Graduate School of Education Cambridge, Massachusetts

Carol Jago Associate Director California Reading and Literature Project at UCLA Oak Park, Illinois

Tonya Matthews

President and CEO Michigan Science Center Detroit, Michigan

Tonya Miles General Public Representative Mitchellville, Maryland

Honorable Ronnie Musgrove Founding Member Musgrove/Smith Law Jackson, Mississippi

Dale Nowlin Teacher and Mathematics Department Chair Bartholomew Consolidated School Corporation Columbus, Indiana Honorable Jeanette Nuñez Legislator Florida House of Representatives Miami, Florida

Father Joseph O'Keefe, S.J. Professor Boston College Lynch School of Education Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts

W. James Popham Emeritus Professor University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California

B. Fielding Rolston Chairman Tennessee State Board of Education Kingsport, Tennessee

Linda Rosen CEO Change the Equation Washington, DC


Cary Sneider Associate Research Professor Portland State University Portland, Oregon

Ken Wagner Commissioner for Elementary and Secondary Education Rhode Island Department of Education Providence, Rhode Island

Chasidy White Eighth-Grade Teacher Brookwood Middle School Brookwood, Alabama

Joe Willhoft Consultant Tacoma, Washington

Mathematics Framework for the 2017 National Assessment of Educational Progress



National Assessment Governing Board U.S. Department of Education

National Assessment Governing Board

Terry Mazany Chair

Lucille E. Davy Vice Chair

William Bushaw *Executive Director*

Lisa Stooksberry Deputy Executive Director

National Assessment of Educational Progress Mathematics Project

Wayne Martin Project Director

John Olson Deputy Project Director

Linda Wilson Consensus Coordinator Framework Author

Developed for the National Assessment Governing Board under contract number ED-00-CO-0115 by the Council of Chief State School Officers, with subcontracts to the Council of Basic Education and the Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics and Grade 12 preparedness objectives developed under contract with Achieve, Inc.

For further information, contact:

National Assessment Governing Board 800 N. Capitol St., NW, Suite 825 Washington, DC 20002–4233 www.nagb.gov

January 2017

Publication Note

The 2017 NAEP Mathematics Framework is the same framework developed for the 1992 NAEP Mathematics Assessment for 4th and 8th grades, with minor modifications to clarify assessment objectives. For 12th grade, this 2017 framework is the same framework developed for the 2005 assessment and includes 2009 modifications to support NAEP reporting on academic preparedness for postsecondary endeavors.

Continuity in the NAEP Mathematics Framework enables reporting of student achievement trends over time. To reflect this continuity, this edition reflects updated dates and references to legislation, National Assessment Governing Board actions, and NAEP activities, including the 2017 transition to digital-based assessment.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Exhibits	ii
Acknowledgments	. iii
NAEP Mathematics Project Staff and Committees	V
Chapter One: Overview	
What Is an Assessment Framework?	2
Need for a New Framework at Grade 12	2
Chapter Two: Framework for the Assessment	5
Content Areas	5
Item Distribution	6
NAEP Mathematics Objectives Organization	6
Mathematical Content Areas	7
Chapter Three: Mathematical Complexity of Items	37
Low Complexity	
Moderate Complexity	42
High Complexity	46
Chapter Four: Item Formats	51
Selected-Response Items	51
Short Constructed-Response Items	53
Extended Constructed-Response Items	55
Scoring Constructed-Response Items	57
Chapter Five: Design of Test and Items	59
Balance of Content	59
Balance of Mathematical Complexity	60
Balance of Item Formats	60
Balance of Item Contexts	61
Sampling	
Calculators	63
Manipulatives and Tools	65
Accessibility	66
Item Families	67
Bibliography	69
Appendix A: NAEP Mathematics Achievement-Level Descriptions	
Mathematics Achievement-Levels Descriptions for Grade 4	
Mathematics Achievement-Levels Descriptions for Grade 8	
Mathematics Achievement-Levels Descriptions for Grade 12	73

Exhibit 2. Percentage distribution of items by grade and content area6Exhibit 3. Number properties and operations9Exhibit 4. Measurement14Exhibit 5. Geometry19Exhibit 6. Data analysis, statistics, and probability25Exhibit 7. Algebra31Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity38Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats51Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area59Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity60Exhibit 12. Percent of testing time by item formats60	Exhibit 1. Comparison of 2005 and 2009-2017 mathematics frameworks	
Exhibit 4. Measurement14Exhibit 5. Geometry19Exhibit 6. Data analysis, statistics, and probability25Exhibit 7. Algebra31Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity38Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats51Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area59Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity60	Exhibit 2. Percentage distribution of items by grade and content area	6
Exhibit 5. Geometry.19Exhibit 6. Data analysis, statistics, and probability25Exhibit 7. Algebra.31Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity38Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats51Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area59Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity60	Exhibit 3. Number properties and operations	9
Exhibit 6. Data analysis, statistics, and probability25Exhibit 7. Algebra31Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity38Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats51Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area59Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity60	Exhibit 4. Measurement	14
Exhibit 7. Algebra.31Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity38Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats51Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area59Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity60	Exhibit 5. Geometry	19
Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity38Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats51Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area59Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity60	Exhibit 6. Data analysis, statistics, and probability	
Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats	Exhibit 7. Algebra	
Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area	Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity	
Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity	Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats	
č 1 <i>i</i>	Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area	59
Exhibit 12. Percent of testing time by item formats	Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity	60
	Exhibit 12. Percent of testing time by item formats	60

The following people were the primary authors of the introductions to the content areas:

- Roger Howe, Yale University (Number Properties and Operations, Geometry, and Algebra)
- Richard Scheaffer, University of Florida (Data Analysis and Probability)
- Mary Lindquist, Columbus State University (Measurement)

NAEP MATHEMATICS PROJECT STAFF AND COMMITTEES

Members of the Board's Grade 12 Mathematics Panel

Herbert Clemens

Professor, Department of Mathematics The Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio

Mary Ann Huntley

Assistant Professor, Mathematics University of Delaware Newark, Delaware

Jeremy Kilpatrick

Regents Professor, Mathematics Education University of Georgia Athens, Georgia

Mary Lindquist

Fuller E. Callaway Professor, Emeritus Columbus State University Lewisburg, West Virginia

Mary Jo Messenger (Retired)

Chair, Department of Mathematics River Hill High School Clarksville, Maryland

William Schmidt

University Distinguished Professor Department of Counseling, Educational Psychology and Special Education Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan

Sharif Shakrani

Director, Education Policy Center Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan

Linda Dager Wilson, Chair Mathematics Consultant

Washington, D.C.

NAEP GRADE 12 MATHEMATICS PROJECT ACHIEVE NAEP GRADE 12 MATHEMATICS PANEL

Sue Eddins (Retired)

Mathematics Teacher Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy Aurora, Illinois

William McCallum

University Distinguished Professor of Mathematics University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona

Fabio Milner

Professor of Mathematics Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana

William Schmidt

University Distinguished Professor Department of Counseling, Educational Psychology and Special Education Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan **Lynn Steen** Professor of Mathematics St. Olaf College Northfield, Minnesota

Norman Webb

Senior Research Scientist Wisconsin Center for Education Research University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin

Reviews Received on the Draft of NAEP 12th Grade Mathematics Objectives

Achieve, Inc. American Mathematical Society Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics Thomas B. Fordham Institute State Mathematics Supervisors from various states National Council of Teachers of Mathematics State Testing Directors from various states

2009 NAEP MATHEMATICS SPECIFICATIONS Work Group

Mary Lindquist

Fuller E. Callaway Professor Emeritus Columbus State University Lewisburg, West Virginia

Mary Jo Messenger (Retired)

Chair, Department of Mathematics River Hill High School Clarksville, Maryland

Linda Dager Wilson, Chair Mathematics Consultant Washington, D.C.

Phoebe Winter

Project Director State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers Richmond, Virginia

2005 NAEP MATHEMATICS PROJECT Steering Committee

Eileen Ahearn

Project Director National Association of State Directors of Special Education Alexandria, Virginia

Charles Allan

Mathematics Education Consultant Michigan Department of Education Lansing, Michigan

B. Marie Byers

National School Boards Association Hagerstown, Maryland

Randy DeHoff

Colorado State Board of Education 6th Congressional District – Littleton Denver, Colorado

M.B. "Sonny" Donaldson

Superintendent Aldine Independent School District Houston, Texas

Janice Earle

Senior Program Director National Science Foundation Arlington, Virginia

Louis M. Fabrizio

Data, Research and Federal Policy Director North Carolina Department of Public Instruction Raleigh, North Carolina **Bettye Forte** Mathematics Consultant Arlington, Texas

Matt Gandal

Vice President Achieve, Inc. Washington, D.C.

Alice Gill

Associate Director, Educational Issues American Federation of Teachers Washington, D.C.

M. Kathleen Heid

Distinguished Professor of Education (Mathematics Education) The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania

Audrey Jackson

Assistant Principal Claymont Elementary School Parkway City Schools Fenton, Missouri

James M. Landwehr

Director, Data Analysis Research Avaya Labs Basking Ridge, New Jersey

Sharon Lewis

Director of Research Council of the Great City Schools Washington, D.C.

Dane Linn

Director, Education Division National Governors Association Washington, D.C.

Eddie Lucero

Principal Griegos Elementary School Albuquerque, New Mexico Lee McCaskill Principal Brooklyn Technical High School Brooklyn, New York

Barbara Montalto

Assistant Director of Mathematics Texas Education Agency Austin, Texas

Judy Rohde

Mathematics Instructor John Glenn Middle School Maplewood, Minnesota

Wilfried Schmid

Professor of Mathematics Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts

Mary Frances Taymans, SND

Executive Director Secondary Schools Department National Catholic Education Association Washington, D.C.

Zalman Usiskin

Professor of Education Director, University of Chicago School Mathematics Project Chicago, Illinois

Judy Walter

Director of Research and Development Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development Alexandria, Virginia

Diana Wearne

Associate Professor School of Education University of Delaware Newark, Delaware

Hung-Hsi Wu

Professor of Mathematics University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, California

2005 NAEP MATHEMATICS PROJECT PLANNING COMMITTEE

Dayo Akinsheye Mathematics Resource Teacher Seaton Elementary School Washington, D.C.

Geri Anderson-Nielsen Mathematics Specialist Georgetown Day School Washington, D.C.

Cindy Chapman Elementary Teacher Albuquerque Public Schools Albuquerque, New Mexico

Herbert Clemens

Professor of Mathematics University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah

Carl Cowen

Professor of Mathematics Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana

Jim Ellingson

Assistant Professor Concordia College Moorhead, Minnesota

Joan Ferrini-Mundy Associate Dean/Director of Science and Mathematics College of Natural Science Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan

Kim Gattis

Education Program Consultant Kansas Department of Education Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics Topeka, Kansas

Anne Gonzales

Middle School Mathematics Teacher South Gate Middle School South Gate, California

Jeremy Kilpatrick

Regents Professor, Mathematics Education University of Georgia Athens, Georgia

Gerald Kulm

Curtis D. Robert Professor of Mathematics Education Texas A&M University College Station, Texas

Mary Lindquist

Fuller E. Callaway Professor of Mathematics Education Columbus State University Columbus, Georgia

Mary Jo Messenger Chair, Department of Mathematics River Hill High School Clarksville, Maryland

Marjorie Petit

Senior Associate The National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment Dover, New Hampshire

Edward Silver

William A. Brownell Collegiate Professor School of Education University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan

Debra Vitale

Mathematics Specialist Arlington Public Schools Fairfax, Virginia

Frank Wang

President/CEO Saxon Publishing, Inc. Norman, Oklahoma

Norman Webb

Senior Research Scientist Wisconsin Center for Education Research Madison, Wisconsin

John Wisthoff

Member, Maryland State Board of Education Mathematics Professor Anne Arundel Community College Pasadena, Maryland

2005 NAEP MATHEMATICS PROJECT TECHNICAL ADVISORY PANEL

Fen Chou

Psychometrician Louisiana Department of Education Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Eugene Johnson

Chief Psychometrician American Institutes for Research Washington, D.C.

Edward Kifer

Professor and Chair Department of Educational Policy Studies and Evaluation College of Education University of Kentucky Lexington, Kentucky

Ina Mullis

Co-Director TIMSS and PIRLS International Study Center Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts

Barbara Plake

Director Buros Center for Testing University of Nebraska–Lincoln Lincoln, Nebraska

Roger Trent

Ohio State Assessment Director (Emeritus) Ohio Department of Education Columbus, Ohio

CCSSO STAFF

Rolf Blank

Director of Indicators Project State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers Washington, D.C.

Wayne Martin

Director State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers Washington, D.C.

John Olson

Director of Assessment State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers Washington, D.C.

Frank Philip

Senior Project Associate State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers Washington, D.C.

Linda Dager Wilson

Consensus Coordinator Consultant Council of Chief State School Officers Washington, D.C.

Phoebe Winter

Project Director State Education Assessment Center Council of Chief State School Officers Richmond, Virginia

SUBCONTRACTORS AND CONSULTANTS

Patricia Kenney

Senior Research Associate University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan

Rebecca Kopriva

Director Center for the Study of Assessment Validity in Education Department of Measurement & Statistics University of Maryland College Park, Maryland

Christopher Cross

Former President Council for Basic Education Washington, D.C.

Kim Gattis

President, Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics Education Program Consultant Kansas State Department of Education Topeka, Kansas

Linda Plattner

Director of Policy, Standards and Instruction Council for Basic Education Washington, D.C.

GOVERNING BOARD STAFF

Mary Crovo Project Officer Assistant Director for Test Development National Assessment Governing Board Washington, D.C.

Note: This list of project staff and committees reflects professional affiliations during the project period for framework development.

COMMITTEE REPRESENTATION

Policy Organizations

Achieve, Inc. American Association of School Administrators (AASA) American Federation of Teachers (AFT) American Mathematical Society (AMS) American Statistical Association (ASA) Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) Association of State Assessment Programs (ASAP) Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics (ASSM) Business Roundtable/National Alliance of Business Council of the Great City Schools Education Leaders Council (ELC) National Association of Elementary School Principals (NAESP) National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP) National Association of State Boards of Education (NASBE) National Association of State Directors of Special Education (NASDSE) National Catholic Education Association (NCEA) National Education Association (NEA) National Governors Association (NGA) National Science Foundation (NSF) National School Boards Association (NSBA) Representative from national textbook publisher

Mathematical Associations and Groups

Mathematically Correct Mathematical Association of America (MAA) National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS)

Educators

Classroom mathematics teachers from public and non-public schools Principals District and state mathematics specialists Mathematics and mathematics education professors from public and private universities, colleges, and community colleges

Technical Experts

University professors State testing specialists Representatives from private research organizations

CHAPTER ONE

OVERVIEW

Since 1973, the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) has gathered information about student achievement in mathematics. Results of these periodic assessments, produced in print and web-based formats, provide valuable information to a wide variety of audiences. They inform citizens about the nature of students' comprehension of the subject, curriculum specialists about the level and nature of student achievement, and policymakers about factors related to schooling and its relationship to student proficiency in mathematics.

The NAEP assessment in mathematics has two components that differ in purpose. One assessment measures long-term trends in achievement among 9-, 13-, and 17-year-old students by using the same basic design each time. This unique measure allows for comparisons of students' knowledge of mathematics since it was first administered in 1973. The main NAEP assessment is administered at the national, state, and selected urban district levels. Results are reported on student achievement in grades 4, 8, and 12 at the national level, and for grades 4 and 8 at the state level and for large urban districts that volunteered to participate. The main NAEP assessment is based on a framework (such as this one) that can be updated periodically. The *2017 Mathematics Framework* reflects changes from 2005 in grade 12 only; mathematics content objectives for grades 4 and 8 have not changed. Therefore, main NAEP trend lines from the early 1990s can continue at fourth and eighth grades for the 2017 assessment. Special analyses have also determined that main NAEP trend lines from 2005 can continue at 12th grade for the 2017 assessment.

Taken together, the NAEP assessments provide a rich, broad, and deep picture of student mathematics achievement in the U.S. Results are reported in terms of scale scores and percentiles. These reports provide comprehensive information about what students in the U.S. know and can do in the area of mathematics. These reports present information on strengths and weaknesses in students' knowledge of mathematics and their ability to apply that knowledge in problem-solving situations. In addition, these reports provide comparative student data according to gender, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, and geographic region; describe trends in student performance over time; and report on relationships between student proficiency and certain background variables.

Student results on the main NAEP assessment are reported for three achievement levels (*Basic*, *Proficient*, and *Advanced*) as described below:

- *Basic* denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade.
- *Proficient* represents solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject

matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and appropriate analytical skills.

• Advanced represents superior performance.

These levels are intended to provide descriptions of what students should know and be able to do in mathematics. Established for the 1992 mathematics scale at grades 4 and 8 and for the 2005 and 2009 mathematics scale at grade 12 through a broadly inclusive process and adopted by the National Assessment Governing Board, the three levels per grade are the primary means of reporting NAEP data. Compared with 2005, the 2009 achievement level descriptions for grade 12 reflect updated content. See appendix A for the NAEP Mathematics Achievement Level Descriptions.

WHAT IS AN ASSESSMENT FRAMEWORK?

An assessment framework is like a blueprint. It lays out the basic design of the assessment by describing the mathematics content that should be tested and the types of assessment questions that should be included. It also describes how the various design factors should be balanced across the assessment. A companion document to this framework, *Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment*, gives more detail about development of the items and conditions for the 2017 NAEP Mathematics Assessment.

This is an assessment framework, not a curriculum framework. In broad terms, this framework attempts to answer the question: What mathematics skills should be assessed on NAEP at grades 4, 8, and 12? The answer to this question must necessarily take into account the constraints of a large-scale assessment such as NAEP with its limitations on time and resources. Of critical importance is the fact that this document does not attempt to answer the question: What (or how) mathematics should be **taught**? The framework was developed with the understanding that some concepts, skills, and activities in school mathematics are not suitable to be assessed on NAEP, although they may well be important components of a school curriculum. Examples include an extended project that involves gathering data, or a group project.

This framework describes a design for the main NAEP assessments at the national, state, and district levels, but it is not the framework for the long-term trend NAEP Assessment described earlier.

NEED FOR A NEW FRAMEWORK AT GRADE 12

For several years, the Governing Board has focused special attention on ways to improve the assessment of 12th graders by NAEP. The goal for this 12th-grade initiative is to enable NAEP to report on how well prepared 12th-grade students are for postsecondary education and training. To accomplish this goal, the content of the assessments as described in the 2005 Mathematics Framework was analyzed and revisions considered. The challenge was to find the essential mathematics that can form the foundation for these postsecondary paths. These should include use of quantitative tools, broad competence in mathematical reasoning, mathematics required for postsecondary courses, and the ability to integrate and apply mathematics in diverse problem-solving contexts. Analysis of the 2005 Mathematics Framework revealed that some revisions would be necessary to meet this challenge.

FRAMEWORK DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

To implement this change at the 12th grade, the Governing Board contracted with Achieve, Inc., to examine NAEP's Mathematics Framework in relation to benchmarks set by the American Diploma Project. An Achieve panel of mathematicians, mathematics educators, and policymakers proposed increasing the scope and rigor of 12th-grade NAEP. Achieve developed new assessment objectives, and a panel of mathematicians and mathematics educators (including classroom teachers) reviewed and revised the objectives and matched them against the current set of objectives for grades 4 and 8. The panel conducted focus groups with the Association of State Supervisors of Mathematics and survey reviews with various NAEP constituents, using repeated rounds of reviews. The Governing Board approved the final set of grade 12 objectives in August 2006.

CHANGES FROM 2005 FRAMEWORK

The exhibit below compares the 2009–2017 and 2005 mathematics frameworks.

Exhibit 1. Comparison of 2005 and 2007–2017 mathematics mathematics			
Mathematics content	Objectives for grades 4 and 8 remain the same		
	• New subtopic of "mathematical reasoning" at grades 4, 8, and 12		
	• Distribution of items for each content area at all grades		
	remains the same		
	New objectives for grade 12		
Mathematical complexity	New clarifications and new examples to describe levels of		
	mathematical complexity		
Calculator policy	Remains the same		
Item formats	Remains the same		
Tools and manipulatives	Remains the same		

Exhibit 1. Comparison of 2005 and 2009–2017 mathematics frameworks
--

CONCLUSION AND PREVIEW OF FRAMEWORK

The bullets below summarize each chapter in the NAEP Mathematics Framework:

- **Mathematics content.** Chapter two contains descriptions of the five major content areas of mathematics (Number Properties and Operations; Measurement; Geometry; Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability; and Algebra) and specific objectives for grades 4, 8, and 12.
- **Mathematical complexity.** Each NAEP mathematics test item is designed to measure a specific level of thinking (called the *mathematical complexity* of the item). Chapter three describes the three levels and offers examples of each.
- Item formats. NAEP mathematics test items are written in one of three formats: multiple choice, short constructed response, or extended constructed response, with the 2017 assessment including these item types in a digital platform. Chapter four describes each of these formats and gives examples.
- Assessment design. Each form of the NAEP Mathematics Assessment must be balanced according to a number of different factors, including content, level of complexity, and format. Chapter five describes the guidelines for balancing each factor. This chapter also addresses other issues of design such as sampling, use of calculators, tools and manipulatives, and accessibility for all students.

A valuable resource for learning more about NAEP can be found on the Internet at nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/. This site contains reports describing results of recent assessments and a searchable tool for viewing released items. Items can be searched by many different features, such as grade level and content area. Information about the items includes student performance and any applicable scoring rubrics. NAEP-released items used as examples in this document are marked with a designation that matches the item name in the NAEP Questions Tool, which can be found on the website.

CHAPTER TWO

FRAMEWORK FOR THE ASSESSMENT

This chapter presents content areas, distribution of items by content, a description of the matrix format, and a detailed description of each content area followed by the specific objectives of the mathematics framework for that area.

CONTENT AREAS

Since its first mathematics assessments in the early 1970s and early 1980s, NAEP has regularly gathered data on students' understanding of mathematical content. Although the names of the content areas in the frameworks and some of the topics in those areas may change somewhat from one assessment to the next, a consistent focus toward collecting information on student performance in five key areas remains. The framework for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment is anchored in these same five broad areas of mathematical content:

- Number Properties and Operations (including computation and understanding of number concepts)
- **Measurement** (including use of instruments, application of processes, and concepts of area and volume)
- **Geometry** (including spatial reasoning and applying geometric properties)
- Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability (including graphical displays and statistics)
- Algebra (including representations and relationships)

These divisions are not intended to separate mathematics into discrete elements. Rather, they are intended to provide a helpful classification scheme that describes the full spectrum of mathematical content assessed by NAEP. Classification of items into one primary content area is not always clear-cut, but it helps ensure that important mathematical concepts and skills are assessed in a balanced way.

At grade 12, the five content areas are collapsed into four, with geometry and measurement combined into one. This reflects the fact that the majority of measurement topics suitable for 12th-grade students are geometric in nature. Separating these two areas of mathematics at 12th grade becomes forced and unnecessary.

It is important to note that certain aspects of mathematics occur in all content areas. The best example of this is *computation*, or the skill of performing operations on numbers. This skill should not be confused with the Number Properties and Operations content area, which encompasses a wide range of concepts about our numeration system. The area of Number Properties and Operations includes a variety of computational skills, ranging from operations with whole numbers to work with decimals, fractions, and real

numbers. However, computation is also critical in Measurement and Geometry in calculating the perimeter of a rectangle, estimating the height of a building, or finding the hypotenuse of a right triangle. Data analysis often involves computation in calculating a mean or the range of a set of data, for example. Probability often entails work with rational numbers. Solving algebraic equations also usually involves numerical computation. Computation, therefore, is a foundational skill in every content area. Although the main NAEP assessment is not designed to report a separate score for computation, results from the long-term NAEP assessment can provide insight into students' computational abilities.

As described in chapter one, one of the changes made from the 2005 framework is the addition of a subtopic for mathematical reasoning that appears in Number Properties and Operations; Geometry; Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability; and Algebra. No new objectives were written at grades 4 and 8, but some of the objectives from the 2005 framework were moved into this new subtopic area. This reflects a new emphasis on the importance of mathematical reasoning across each content area.

ITEM DISTRIBUTION

The distribution of items among the various mathematical content areas is a critical feature of the assessment design because it reflects the relative importance and value given to each. As has been the case with past NAEP assessments, the categories receive differential emphasis at each grade. Exhibit 2 provides the recommended balance of items in the assessment by content area for each grade (4, 8, and 12). The recommended item distribution is identical to the percentages found in the 2005 NAEP Mathematics Framework. Note that the percentages refer to numbers of items, not the amount of testing time.

Content Area	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Number Properties and Operations	40	20	10
Measurement	20	15	20
Geometry	15	20	30
Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability	10	15	25
Algebra	15	30	35

Exhibit 2. Percentage distribution of items by grade and content area

NAEP MATHEMATICS OBJECTIVES ORGANIZATION

Organizing the framework by content areas has the potential for fragmentation. However, the intent is that the objectives and the test items built on them will, in many cases, cross content area boundaries.

To provide clarity and specificity in the objectives for each grade level, the framework matrix (Exhibits 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7) depicts the particular objectives appropriate for assessment under each subtopic. For example, within the Number Properties and Operations subtopic of Number Sense, specific objectives are listed for assessment at grades 4, 8, and 12. The same objective at different grade levels depicts a developmental sequence for that concept or skill. An empty cell in the matrix conveys that a particular objective is not appropriate for assessment at that grade level.

To fully understand these objectives and their intent, please note the following:

- These objectives describe what is to be **assessed** on NAEP. They should not be interpreted as a complete description of mathematics that should be **taught** at these grade levels.
- Some of the grade 12 objectives are marked with an asterisk (*). This denotes objectives that describe mathematics content beyond that typically taught in a standard 3-year course of study (the equivalent of 1 year of geometry and 2 years of algebra). Therefore, these objectives will be selected less often than the others for inclusion on the assessments. Although all test items will be assigned a primary classification, some test items could potentially fall into more than one content area or under more than one objective.
- When the word *or* is used in an objective, it should be understood that an item may assess one or more of the concepts included.
- Further clarification of some objectives along with sample items may be found in Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment.

MATHEMATICAL CONTENT AREAS

NUMBER PROPERTIES AND OPERATIONS

Numbers are our main tools for describing the world quantitatively. As such, they deserve a privileged place in the NAEP Mathematics Framework. With whole numbers, we can count collections of discrete objects of any type. We can also use numbers to describe fractional parts, to describe continuous quantities such as length, area, volume, weight, and time, and even to describe more complicated derived quantities such as rates of speed, density, inflation, interest, and so on. Thanks to Cartesian coordinates, we can use pairs of numbers to describe points in a plane or triads of numbers to label points in space. Numbers let us talk in a precise way about anything that can be counted, measured, or located in space.

Numbers are not simply labels for quantities; they form systems with their own internal structure. Arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division) help us model basic real-world operations. For example, joining two collections or laying two lengths end to end can be described by addition, whereas the concept of rate depends on division. Multiplication and division of whole numbers lead to the beginnings of number theory, including concepts of factorization, remainder, and prime number. The other

basic structure of real numbers is ordering, as in which is greater and which is lesser. These reflect our intuitions about the relative size of quantities and provide a basis for making sensible estimates.

The accessibility and usefulness of arithmetic is greatly enhanced by our efficient means for representing numbers: the Hindu-Arabic decimal place value system. In its full development, this remarkable system includes decimal fractions, which let us approximate any real number as closely as we wish. Decimal notation allows us to do arithmetic by means of simple routine algorithms and it also makes size comparisons and estimation easy. The decimal system achieves its efficiency through sophistication as all the basic algebraic operations are implicitly used in writing decimal numbers. To represent ratios of two whole numbers exactly, we supplement decimal notation with fractions.

Comfort in dealing with numbers effectively is called *number sense*. It includes intuition about what numbers tell us; understanding the ways to represent numbers symbolically (including facility with converting between different representations); ability to calculate, either exactly or approximately, and by several means (mentally, with paper and pencil, or with calculator, as appropriate); and skill in estimation. Ability to deal with proportion (including percent) is another important part of number sense.

Number sense is a major expectation of the NAEP mathematics assessment. In fourth grade, students are expected to have a solid grasp of whole numbers as represented by the decimal system and to begin understanding fractions. By eighth grade, they should be comfortable with rational numbers, represented either as decimal fractions (including percentages) or as common fractions, and should be able to use them to solve problems involving proportionality and rates. At this level, numbers should also begin to coalesce with geometry by extending students' understanding of the number line. This concept should be connected with ideas of approximation and the use of scientific notation. Eighth graders should also have some acquaintance with naturally occurring irrational numbers such as square roots and pi. By 12th grade, students should be comfortable dealing with all types of real numbers and various representations such as exponents or logarithms. Students at the 12th-grade level should be familiar with complex numbers and be able to establish the validity of numerical properties using mathematical arguments.

1) Number sense			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Identify place value and actual value of digits in whole numbers.	a) Use place value to model and describe integers and decimals.		
b) Represent numbers using models such as base 10 representations, number lines, and two-dimensional models.	b) Model or describe rational numbers or numerical relationships using number lines and diagrams.		
c) Compose or decompose whole quantities by place value (e.g., write whole numbers in expanded notation using place value: $342 = 300 + 40 + 2$).			
d) Write or rename whole numbers (e.g., $10: 5+5, 12-2, 2 \times 5$).	d) Write or rename rational numbers.	d) Represent, interpret, or compare expressions for real numbers, including expressions using exponents and logarithms.	
e) Connect model, number word, or number using various models and representations for whole numbers, fractions, and decimals.	e) Recognize, translate or apply multiple representations of rational numbers (fractions, decimals, and percents) in meaningful contexts.		
	f) Express or interpret numbers using scientific notation from real-life contexts.	f) Represent or interpret expressions involving very large or very small numbers in scientific notation.	
	g) Find or model absolute value or apply to problem situations.	g) Represent, interpret, or compare expressions or problem situations involving absolute values.	
	h) Order or compare rational numbers (fractions, decimals, percents, or integers) using various models and repre- sentations (e.g., number line).		
i) Order or compare whole numbers, decimals, or fractions.	i) Order or compare rational numbers including very large and small integers, and deci- mals and fractions close to zero.	i) Order or compare real numbers, including very large and very small real numbers.	

2) Estimation			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Use benchmarks (well- known numbers used as meaningful points for comparison) for whole numbers, decimals, or fractions in contexts (e.g., ½ and .5 may be used as benchmarks for fractions and decimals between 0 and 1.00).	a) Establish or apply benchmarks for rational numbers and common irrational numbers (e.g., π) in contexts.		
 b) Make estimates appropriate to a given situation with whole numbers, fractions, or decimals by: Knowing when to estimate, Selecting the appropriate type of estimate, including overestimate, and range of estimate, or Selecting the appropriate method of estimation (e.g., rounding). 	 b) Make estimates appropriate to a given situation by: Identifying when estimation is appropriate, Determining the level of accuracy needed, Selecting the appropriate method of estimation, or Analyzing the effect of an estimation method on the accuracy of results. 	b) Identify situations where estimation is appropriate, determine the needed degree of accuracy, and analyze* the effect of the estimation method on the accuracy of results.	
c) Verify solutions or determine the reasonableness of results in meaningful contexts.	c) Verify solutions or determine the reasonableness of results in a variety of situations, including calculator and computer results.	c) Verify solutions or determine the reasonableness of results in a variety of situations.	
	d) Estimate square or cube roots of numbers less than 1,000 between two whole numbers.	d) Estimate square or cube roots of numbers less than 1,000 between two whole numbers.	

* Objectives that describe mathematics content beyond that typically taught in a standard 3-year course of study (the equivalent of 1 year of geometry and 2 years of algebra).

3) Number operations			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
 a) Add and subtract: Whole numbers, or Fractions with like denominators, or Decimals through hundredths. 	a) Perform computations with rational numbers.	a) Find integral or simple fractional powers of real numbers.	
 b) Multiply whole numbers: No larger than two digit by two digit with paper and pencil computation, or Larger numbers with use of calculator. 		b) Perform arithmetic operations with real numbers, including common irrational numbers.	
 c) Divide whole numbers: Up to three digits by one digit with paper and pencil computation, or Up to five digits by two digits with use of calculator. 		c) Perform arithmetic operations with expressions involving absolute value.	
d) Describe the effect of operations on size (whole numbers).	 d) Describe the effect of multiplying and dividing by numbers including the effect of multiplying or dividing a rational number by: Zero, or A number less than zero, or A number between zero and one, One, or A number greater than one. 	 d) Describe the effect of multiplying and dividing by numbers including the effect of multiplying or dividing a real number by: Zero, or A number less than zero, or A number between zero and one, or One, or A number greater than one. 	
e) Interpret whole number operations and the relationships between them.	e) Interpret rational number operations and the relationships between them.		
f) Solve application problems involving numbers and operations.	f) Solve application problems involving rational numbers and operations using exact answers or estimates as appropriate.	f) Solve application problems involving numbers, including rational and common irrationals.	

4) Ratios and proportional reasoning			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Use simple ratios to describe problem situations.	a) Use ratios to describe problem situations.		
	b) Use fractions to represent and express ratios and proportions.		
	c) Use proportional reasoning to model and solve problems (including rates and scaling).	c) Use proportions to solve problems (including rates of change).	
	d) Solve problems involving percentages (including percent increase and decrease, interest rates, tax, discount, tips, or part/whole relationships).	d) Solve multistep problems involving percentages, including compound percentages.	
5) Properties of number and	loperations	1	
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Identify odd and even numbers.	a) Describe odd and even integers and how they behave under different operations.		
b) Identify factors of whole numbers.	b) Recognize, find, or use factors, multiples, or prime factorization.		
	c) Recognize or use prime and composite numbers to solve problems.	c) Solve problems using factors, multiples, or prime factorization.	
	d) Use divisibility or remainders in problem settings.	d) Use divisibility or remainders in problem settings.	
e) Apply basic properties of operations.	e) Apply basic properties of operations.	e) Apply basic properties of operations, including conventions about the order of operations.	
		f) Recognize properties of the number system (whole numbers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers) and how they are related to each other, and identify examples of each type of number.	

6) Mathematical reasoning using number				
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12		
mathematical concept or	a) Explain or justify a mathematical concept or relationship (e.g., explain why 17 is prime).	a) Give a mathematical argument to establish the validity of a simple numerical property or relationship.		
	b) Provide a mathematical argument to explain operations with two or more fractions.	b) *Analyze or interpret a proof by mathematical induction of a simple numerical relationship.		

* Objectives that describe mathematics content beyond that typically taught in a standard 3-year course of study (the equivalent of 1 year of geometry and 2 years of algebra).

Measurement

Measuring is the process by which numbers are assigned to describe the world quantitatively. This process involves selecting the attribute of the object or event to be measured, comparing this attribute to a unit, and reporting the number of units. For example, in measuring a child, we may select the attribute of height and the inch as the unit for the comparison. In comparing the height to the inch, we may find that the child is about 42 inches. If considering only the domain of whole numbers, we would report that the child is 42 inches tall. However, since height is a continuous attribute, we may consider the domain of rational numbers and report that the child is $41^{3}/_{16}$ inches tall (to the nearest 16th of the inch). Measurement also allows us to model positive and negative numbers as well as the irrational numbers.

This connection between measuring and number makes measuring a vital part of the school curriculum. Measurement models are often used when students are learning about number and operations. For example, area and volume models can help students understand multiplication and its properties. Length models, especially the number line, can help students understand ordering and rounding numbers. Measurement also has a strong connection to other areas of school mathematics and to the other subjects in the school curriculum. Problems in algebra are often drawn from measurement situations. One can also consider measurement to be a function or a mapping of an attribute to a set of numbers. Geometry as taught in U.S. schools often focuses on the measurement aspect of geometric figures. Statistics also provides ways to measure and to compare sets of data. These are just some of the ways that measurement is intertwined with the other four content areas.

In this NAEP Mathematics Framework, attributes such as capacity, weight/mass, time, and temperature are included, as are the geometric attributes of length, area, and volume. Although many of these attributes are included in the grade 4 framework, the emphasis

there is on length, including perimeter, distance, and height. More emphasis is placed on areas and angles in grade 8. By grade 12, volumes and rates constructed from other at-tributes, such as speed, are emphasized.

The NAEP assessment includes nonstandard, customary, and metric units. At grade 4, common customary units such as inch, quart, pound, and hour; and common metric units such as centimeter, liter, and gram are emphasized. Grades 8 and 12 include the use of both square and cubic units for measuring area, surface area, and volume, degrees for measuring angles, and constructed units such as miles per hour. Converting from one unit in a system to another, such as from minutes to hours, is an important aspect of measurement included in problem situations. Understanding and using the many conversions available is an important skill. There are a limited number of common, everyday equivalencies that students are expected to know (see *Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment* for more detail).

Items classified in this content area depend on some knowledge of measurement. For example, an item that asks the difference between a 3-inch and a $1^{3}/_{4}$ -inch line segment is a number item, whereas an item comparing a 2-foot segment with an 8-inch line segment is a measurement item. In many secondary schools, measurement becomes an integral part of geometry; this is reflected in the proportion of items recommended for these two areas.

1) Measuring physical attributes			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Identify the attribute that is appropriate to measure in a given situation.			
b) Compare objects with respect to a given attribute, such as length, area, volume, time, or temperature.	b) Compare objects with respect to length, area, volume, angle measurement, weight, or mass.	b) Determine the effect of proportions and scaling on length, area, and volume.	
c) Estimate the size of an object with respect to a given measurement attribute (e.g., length, perimeter, or area using a grid).	c) Estimate the size of an object with respect to a given measurement attribute (e.g., area).	c) Estimate or compare perimeters or areas of two- dimensional geometric figures.	
		d) Solve problems of angle measure, including those involving triangles or other polygons or parallel lines cut by a transversal.	

Exhibit 4. Measurement

1) Measuring physical attributes (continued)		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
e) Select or use appropriate measurement instruments such as ruler, meter stick, clock, thermometer, or other scaled instruments.	e) Select or use appropriate measurement instrument to determine or create a given length, area, volume, angle, weight, or mass.	
f) Solve problems involving perimeter of plane figures.	f) Solve mathematical or real- world problems involving perimeter or area of plane figures such as triangles, rectangles, circles, or composite figures.	f) Solve problems involving perimeter or area of plane figures such as polygons, circles, or composite figures.
g) Solve problems involving area of squares and rectangles.		
	h) Solve problems involving volume or surface area of rectangular solids, cylinders, prisms, or composite shapes.	h) Solve problems by determining, estimating, or comparing volumes or surface areas of three-dimensional figures.
	i) Solve problems involving rates such as speed or population density.	i) Solve problems involving rates such as speed, density, population density, or flow rates.
2) Systems of measurement		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Select or use an appropriate type of unit for the attribute being measured such as length, time, or temperature.	a) Select or use an appropriate type of unit for the attribute being measured such as length, area, angle, time, or volume.	a) Recognize that geometric measurements (length, area, perimeter, and volume) depend on the choice of a unit, and apply such units in expressions, equations, and problem solutions.

Exhibit 4 (continued). Measurement

2) Systems of measurement (continued)		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
b) Solve problems involving conversions within the same measurement system such as conversions involving inches and feet or hours and minutes.	b) Solve problems involving conversions within the same measurement system such as conversions involving square inches and square feet.	b) Solve problems involving conversions within or between measurement systems, given the relationship between the units.
	 c) Estimate the measure of an object in one system given the measure of that object in another system and the approximate conversion factor. For example: Distance conversion: 1 kilometer is approximately 5/8 of a mile. Money conversion: U.S. dollars to Canadian dollars. Temperature conversion: Fahrenheit to Celsius. 	
d) Determine appropriate size of unit of measurement in problem situation involving such attributes as length, time, capacity, or weight.	d) Determine appropriate size of unit of measurement in problem situation involving such attributes as length, area, or volume.	d) Understand that numerical values associated with measurements of physical quantities are approximate, are subject to variation, and must be assigned units of measurement.
e) Determine situations in which a highly accurate measurement is important.	e) Determine appropriate accuracy of measurement in problem situations (e.g., the accuracy of each of several lengths needed to obtain a specified accuracy of a total length) and find the measure to that degree of accuracy.	e) Determine appropriate accuracy of measurement in problem situations (e.g., the accuracy of measurement of the dimensions to obtain a specified accuracy of area) and find the measure to that degree of accuracy.
	f) Construct or solve problems (e.g., floor area of a room) involving scale drawings.	f) Construct or solve problems involving scale drawings.

Exhibit 4 (continued). Measurement

3) Measurement in triangles		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
	a) Solve problems involving indirect measurement such as finding the height of a building by comparing its shadow with the height and shadow of a known object.	a) Solve problems involving indirect measurement.
		b) Solve problems using the fact that trigonometric ratios (sine, cosine, and tangent) stay constant in similar triangles.
		c) Use the definitions of sine, cosine, and tangent as ratios of sides in a right triangle to solve problems about length of sides and measure of angles.
		d) Interpret and use the identity $\sin^2\theta + \cos^2\theta = 1$ for angles θ between 0° and 90°; recognize this identity as a special representation of the Pythagorean theorem.
		e) * Determine the radian measure of an angle and explain how radian measurement is related to a circle of radius 1.
		f) * Use trigonometric formulas such as addition and double angle formulas.
		g) * Use the law of cosines and the law of sines to find unknown sides and angles of a triangle.

Exhibit 4 (continued). Measurement

* Objectives that describe mathematics content beyond that typically taught in a standard 3-year course of study (the equivalent of 1 year of geometry and 2 years of algebra).

Geometry

Geometry began as a practical collection of rules for calculating lengths, areas, and volumes of common shapes. In classical times, the Greeks turned it into a subject for reasoning and proof, and Euclid organized their discoveries into a coherent collection of results, all deduced using logic from a small number of special assumptions, called postulates. Euclid's *Elements* stood as a pinnacle of human intellectual achievement for more than 2,000 years.

The 19th century saw a new flowering of geometric thought going beyond Euclid, and leading to the idea that geometry is the study of the possible structures of space. This had its most striking application in Einstein's theories of relativity describing the behavior of light and gravity in terms of a four-dimensional geometry, which combines the usual three dimensions of space with time as an additional dimension.

A major insight of the 19th century is that geometry is intimately related to ideas of symmetry and transformation. The symmetry of familiar shapes under simple transformations—that our bodies look more or less the same if reflected across the middle or that a square looks the same if rotated by 90 degrees—is a matter of everyday experience. Many of the standard terms for triangles (scalene, isosceles, equilateral) and quadrilaterals (parallelogram, rectangle, rhombus, square) refer to symmetry properties. Also, the behavior of figures under changes of scale is an aspect of symmetry with myriad practical consequences. At a deeper level, the fundamental ideas of geometry (for example, congruence) depend on transformation and invariance. In the 20th century, symmetry ideas were also seen to underlie much of physics, including not only Einstein's relativity theories but also atomic physics and solid-state physics (the field that produced computer chips).

Geometry as taught in U.S. schools roughly mirrors historical development through Greek times with some modern additions, most notably symmetry and transformations. By grade 4, students are expected to be familiar with a library of simple figures and their attributes, both in the plane (lines, circles, triangles, rectangles, and squares) and in space (cubes, spheres, and cylinders). In middle school, understanding of these shapes deepens, with study of cross-sections of solids and the beginnings of an analytical understanding of properties of plane figures, especially parallelism, perpendicularity, and angle relations in polygons. Schools introduce right angles and the Pythagorean theorem, and geometry becomes more and more mixed with measurement. Study of the number lines forms the basis for analytic geometry. In secondary school, instruction includes Euclid's legacy and the power of rigorous thinking. Students are expected to make, test, and validate conjectures. Via analytic geometry, the key areas of geometry and algebra merge into a powerful tool that provides a basis for calculus and the applications of mathematics that helped create the modern technological world in which we live. Symmetry is an increasingly important component of geometry. Elementary school students are expected to be familiar with the basic types of symmetry transformations of plane figures, including flips (reflection across lines), turns (rotations around points), and slides (translations). In middle school, this knowledge becomes more systematic and analytical, with each type of transformation distinguished from other types by their qualitative effects. For example, a rigid motion of a plane that leaves at least two points fixed (but not all points) must be a reflection in a line. In high school, students are expected to be able to represent transformations algebraically. Some students may also gain insight into their systematic structure, such as the classification of rigid motions of the plane as reflections, rotations, translations, or glide reflections, and what happens when two or more isometries are performed in succession (composition).

1) Dimension and shape		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Explore properties of paths between points.	a) Draw or describe a path of shortest length between points to solve problems in context.	
b) Identify or describe (informally) real-world objects using simple plane figures (e.g., triangles, rectangles, squares, and circles) and simple solid figures (e.g., cubes, spheres, and cylinders).	b) Identify a geometric object given a written description of its properties.	
c) Identify or draw angles and other geometric figures in the plane.	c) Identify, define, or describe geometric shapes in the plane and in three-dimensional space given a visual representation.	c) Give precise mathematical descriptions or definitions of geometric shapes in the plane and in three-dimensional space.
	d) Draw or sketch from a written description polygons, circles, or semicircles.	d) Draw or sketch from a written description plane figures and planar images of three-dimensional figures.
	e) Represent or describe a three-dimensional situation in a two-dimensional drawing from different views.	e) Use two-dimensional representations of three- dimensional objects to visualize and solve problems.
f) Describe attributes of two- and three-dimensional shapes.	f) Demonstrate an under- standing about the two- and three-dimensional shapes in our world through identifying, drawing, modeling, building, or taking apart.	f) Analyze properties of three- dimensional figures including spheres and hemispheres.

Exhibit 5. Geometry

2) Transformation of shapes and preservation of properties		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Identify whether a figure is symmetrical or draw lines of symmetry.	a) Identify lines of symmetry in plane figures or recognize and classify types of symmetries of plane figures.	a) Recognize or identify types of symmetries (e.g., point, line, rotational, self- congruence) of two- and three- dimensional figures.
		b) Give or recognize the precise mathematical relationship (e.g., congruence, similarity, orientation) between a figure and its image under a transformation.
c) Identify the images resulting from flips (reflections), slides (translations), or turns (rotations).	c) Recognize or informally describe the effect of a transformation on two- dimensional geometric shapes (reflections across lines of symmetry, rotations, translations, magnifications, and contractions).	c) Perform or describe the effect of a single transformation on two- and three-dimensional geometric shapes (reflections across lines of symmetry, rotations, translations, and dilations).
d) Recognize which attributes (such as shape and area) change or do not change when plane figures are cut up or rearranged.	d) Predict results of combining, subdividing, and changing shapes of plane figures and solids (e.g., paper folding, tiling, cutting up and rearranging pieces).	d) Identify transformations, combinations, or subdivisions of shapes that preserve the area of two-dimensional figures or the volume of three- dimensional figures.
e) Match or draw congruent figures in a given collection.	e) Justify relationships of congruence and similarity and apply these relationships using scaling and proportional reasoning.	e) Justify relationships of congruence and similarity and apply these relationships using scaling and proportional reasoning.
	f) For similar figures, identify and use the relationships of conservation of angle and of proportionality of side length and perimeter.	
		g) Perform or describe the effects of successive transformations.

Exhibit 5 (continued). Geometry

3) Relationships between geometric figures		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Analyze or describe patterns of geometric figures by increasing number of sides, changing size or orientation (e.g., polygons with more and more sides).		
b) Assemble simple plane shapes to construct a given shape.	b) Apply geometric properties and relationships in solving simple problems in two and three dimensions.	b) Apply geometric properties and relationships to solve problems in two and three dimensions.
c) Recognize two-dimensional faces of three-dimensional shapes.	c) Represent problem situations with simple geometric models to solve mathematical or real-world problems.	c) Represent problem situations with geometric models to solve mathematical or real-world problems.
	d) Use the Pythagorean theorem to solve problems.	d) Use the Pythagorean theorem to solve problems in two- or three-dimensional situations.
		e) Recall and interpret definitions and basic properties of congruent and similar triangles, circles, quadrilaterals, polygons, parallel, perpendicular and intersecting lines, and associated angle relationships.
f) Describe and compare properties of simple and compound figures composed of triangles, squares, and rectangles.	f) Describe or analyze simple properties of, or relationships between, triangles, quadrilaterals, and other polygonal plane figures.	f) Analyze properties or relationships of triangles, quadrilaterals, and other polygonal plane figures.

Exhibit 5 (continued). Geometry

3) Relationships between geometric figures (continued)		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
	g) Describe or analyze properties and relationships of parallel or intersecting lines.	g) Analyze properties and relationships of parallel, perpendicular, or intersecting lines including the angle relationships that arise in these cases.
		h) Analyze properties of circles and the intersections of lines and circles (inscribed angles, central angles, tangents, secants, and chords).
4) Position, direction, and coordinate geometry		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Describe relative positions of points and lines using the geometric ideas of parallelism or perpendicularity.	a) Describe relative positions of points and lines using the geometric ideas of midpoint, points on common line through a common point, parallelism, or perpendicularity.	a) Solve problems involving the coordinate plane such as the distance between two points, the midpoint of a segment, or slopes of perpendicular or parallel lines.
	b) Describe the intersection of two or more geometric figures in the plane (e.g., intersection of a circle and a line).	b) Describe the intersections of lines in the plane and in space, intersections of a line and a plane, or of two planes in space.
	c) Visualize or describe the cross section of a solid.	c) Describe or identify conic sections and other cross sections of solids.
d) Construct geometric figures with vertices at points on a coordinate grid.	d) Represent geometric figures using rectangular coordinates on a plane.	d) Represent two-dimensional figures algebraically using coordinates and/or equations.
		e) * Use vectors to represent velocity and direction; multiply a vector by a scalar and add vectors both algebraically and graphically.

Exhibit 5 (continued). Geometry

* Objectives that describe mathematics content beyond that typically taught in a standard 3-year course of study (the equivalent of 1 year of geometry and 2 years of algebra).

4) Position, direction, and coordinate geometry (continued)				
Grade 4	Grade 8 Grade 12			
		f) Find an equation of a circle given its center and radius and, given an equation of a circle, find its center and radius.		
		g) * Graph ellipses and hyperbolas whose axes are parallel to the coordinate axes and demonstrate understanding of the relationship between their standard algebraic form and their graphical characteristics.		
		h) * Represent situations and solve problems involving polar coordinates.		
5) Mathematical reasoning i	n geometry			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12		
a) Distinguish which objects in a collection satisfy a given geometric definition and explain choices.	a) Make and test a geometric conjecture about regular polygons.	a) Make, test, and validate geometric conjectures using a variety of methods including deductive reasoning and counterexamples.		
		b) Determine the role of hypotheses, logical implications, and conclusion in proofs of geometric theorems.		
		c) Analyze or explain a geometric argument by contradiction.		
		d) Analyze or explain a geometric proof of the Pythagorean theorem.		
		e) Prove basic theorems about congruent and similar triangles and circles.		

Exhibit 5 (continued). Geometry

DATA ANALYSIS, STATISTICS, AND PROBABILITY

Data analysis and statistics covers the entire process of collecting, organizing, summarizing, and interpreting data. This is the heart of the discipline called statistics and is in evidence whenever quantitative information is used to determine a course of action. To emphasize the spirit of statistical thinking, data analysis should begin with a question to be answered, not with the data. Data should be collected only with a specific question (or questions) in mind and only after a plan (usually called a *design*) for collecting data relevant to the question is thought out. Beginning at an early age, students should grasp the fundamental principle that looking for questions in an existing data set is far different from the scientific method of collecting data to verify or refute a well-posed question. A pattern can be found in almost any data set if one looks hard enough; however, a pattern discovered in this way is often meaningless, especially from the point of view of statistical inference.

In the context of data analysis or statistics, probability can be thought of as the study of potential patterns in outcomes that have not yet been observed. We say that the probability of a balanced coin coming up heads when flipped is one half because we believe that about half of the flips would turn out to be heads if we flipped the coin many times. Under random sampling, patterns for outcomes of designed studies can be anticipated and used as the basis for making decisions. If the coin actually turned up heads 80 percent of the time, we would suspect that it was not balanced. The whole probability distribution of all possible outcomes is important in most statistics problems because the key to decision-making is to decide whether or not a particular observed outcome is unusual (located in a tail of the probability distribution) or not. For example, four as a grade-point average is unusually high among most groups of students, four as the pound weight of a baby is unusually low, and four as the number of runs scored in a baseball game is not unusual in either direction.

By grade 4, students should be expected to apply their understanding of number and quantity to pose questions that can be answered by collecting appropriate data. They should be expected to organize data in a table or a plot and summarize the essential features of center, spread, and shape, both verbally and with simple summary statistics. Simple comparisons can be made between two related data sets but more formal inference based on randomness should come later. The basic concept of chance and statistical reasoning can be built into meaningful contexts, such as "If I draw two names from among those of the students in the room, am I likely to get two girls?" Such problems can be addressed through simulation.

Building on the same definition of data analysis and the same principles of describing data distributions through center, spread, and shape, grade 8 students should be expected to be able to use a wider variety of organizing and summarizing techniques. They can also begin to analyze statistical claims through designed surveys and experiments that involve randomization, with simulation being the main tool for making simple statistical

inferences. They will begin to use more formal terminology related to probability and data analysis.

Students in grade 12 should be expected to use a wide variety of statistical techniques for all phases of the data analysis process, including a more formal understanding of statistical inference (still with simulation as the main inferential analysis tool). In addition to comparing univariate data sets, students at this level should be able to recognize and describe possible associations between two variables by looking at two-way tables for categorical variables or scatterplots for measurement variables. Association between variables is related to the concepts of independence and dependence and an understanding of these ideas requires knowledge of conditional probability. These students should be able to use statistical models (linear and nonlinear equations) to describe possible associations between two and should be familiar with techniques for fitting models to data.

1) Data representation			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
The following representations of only a subset of these representa with the objective.	data are indicated for each grade tions is applicable are indicated i	5	
Pictographs, bar graphs, circle graphs, line graphs, line plots, tables, and tallies.	Histograms, line graphs, scatterplots, box plots, bar graphs, circle graphs, stem and leaf plots, frequency distributions, and tables.	Histograms, line graphs, scatterplots, box plots, bar graphs, circle graphs, stem and leaf plots, frequency distributions, and tables, including two-way tables.	
a) Read or interpret a single set of data.	a) Read or interpret data, including interpolating or extrapolating from data.	a) Read or interpret graphical or tabular representations of data.	
b) For a given set of data, complete a graph (limits of time make it difficult to construct graphs completely).	b) For a given set of data, complete a graph and then solve a problem using the data in the graph (histograms, line graphs, scatterplots, circle graphs, and bar graphs).	b) For a given set of data, complete a graph and solve a problem using the data in the graph (histograms, scatterplots, and line graphs).	

Exhibit 6. Data analysis, statistics, and probability

1) Data representation (continued)			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
c) Solve problems by estimating and computing within a single set of data.	c) Solve problems by estimating and computing with data from a single set or across sets of data.	c) Solve problems involving univariate or bivariate data.	
	d) Given a graph or a set of data, determine whether information is represented effectively and appropriately (histograms, line graphs, scatterplots, circle graphs, and bar graphs).	d) Given a graphical or tabular representation of a set of data, determine whether information is represented effectively and appropriately.	
	e) Compare and contrast the effectiveness of different representations of the same data.	e) Compare and contrast different graphical representations of univariate and bivariate data.	
		f) Organize and display data in a spreadsheet in order to recognize patterns and solve problems.	
2) Characteristics of data se	ts		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
	a) Calculate, use, or interpret mean, median, mode, or range.	a) Calculate, interpret, or use summary statistics for distributions of data including measures of typical value (mean, median), position (quartiles, percentiles), and spread (range, interquartile range, variance, and standard deviation).	
b) Given a set of data or a graph, describe the distribution of data using median, range, or mode.	b) Describe how mean, median, mode, range, or interquartile ranges relate to distribution shape.	b) Recognize how linear transformations of one- variable data affect mean, median, mode, range, interquartile range, and standard deviation.	
	c) Identify outliers and determine their effect on mean, median, mode, or range.	c) Determine the effect of outliers on mean, median, mode, range, interquartile range, or standard deviation.	

Exhibit 6 (continued). Data analysis, statistics, and probability

2) Characteristics of data sets (continued)				
Grade 4	Grade 8 Grade 12			
d) Compare two sets of related data.	d) Using appropriate statistical measures, compare two or more data sets describing the same characteristic for two different populations or subsets of the same population.	d) Compare data sets using summary statistics (mean, median, mode, range, interquartile range, or standard deviation) describing the same characteristic for two different populations or subsets of the same population.		
	e) Visually choose the line that best fits given a scatterplot and informally explain the meaning of the line. Use the line to make predictions.	e) Approximate a trend line if a linear pattern is apparent in a scatterplot or use a graphing calculator to determine a least- squares regression line and use the line or equation to make predictions.		
		f) Recognize that the correlation coefficient is a number from -1 to +1 that measures the strength of the linear relationship between two variables; visually estimate the correlation coefficient (e.g., positive or negative, closer to 0, .5, or 1.0) of a scatterplot.		
		g) Know and interpret the key characteristics of a normal distribution such as shape, center (mean), and spread (standard deviation).		

Exhibit 6 (continued). Data analysis, statistics, and probability

3) Experiments and samples				
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12		
	a) Given a sample, identify possible sources of bias in sampling.	a) Identify possible sources of bias in sample surveys and describe how such bias can be controlled and reduced.		
	b) Distinguish between a random and nonrandom sample.	b) Recognize and describe a method to select a simple random sample.		
		c) * Draw inferences from samples, such as estimates of proportions in a population, estimates of population means, or decisions about differences in means for two "treatments."		
	d) Evaluate the design of an experiment.	d) Identify or evaluate the characteristics of a good survey or of a well-designed experiment.		
		e) * Recognize the differences in design and in conclusions between randomized experiments and observational studies.		
4) Probability				
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12		
a) Use informal probabilistic thinking to describe chance events (i.e., likely and unlikely, certain and impossible).	a) Analyze a situation that involves probability of an independent event.	a) Recognize whether two events are independent or dependent.		
b) Determine a simple probability from a context that includes a picture.	b) Determine the theoretical probability of simple and compound events in familiar contexts.	b) Determine the theoretical probability of simple and compound events in familiar or unfamiliar contexts.		

Exhibit 6 ((continued)). Data a	nalvsis.	statistics.	and	probability
	commaca	/ D ata a		Sections		pi obability

4) Probability (continued)			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
	c) Estimate the probability of simple and compound events through experimentation or simulation.	c) Given the results of an experiment or simulation, estimate the probability of simple or compound events in familiar or unfamiliar contexts.	
	d) Use theoretical probability to evaluate or predict experimental outcomes.	d) Use theoretical probability to evaluate or predict experimental outcomes.	
e) List all possible outcomes of a given situation or event.	e) Determine the sample space for a given situation.	e) Determine the number of ways an event can occur using tree diagrams, formulas for combinations and permutations, or other counting techniques.	
	f) Use a sample space to determine the probability of possible outcomes for an event.		
g) Represent the probability of a given outcome using a picture or other graphic.	g) Represent the probability of a given outcome using fractions, decimals, and percents.		
	h) Determine the probability of independent and dependent events. (Dependent events should be limited to a small sample size.)	h) Determine the probability of independent and dependent events.	
		i) Determine conditional probability using two-way tables.	
	j) Interpret probabilities within a given context.	j) Interpret and apply probability concepts to practical situations.	
		k) * Use the binomial theorem to solve problems.	

Exhibit 6 (continued). Data analysis, statistics, and probability

5) Mathematical reasoning with data			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
		a) Identify misleading uses of data in real-world settings and critique different ways of presenting and using information.	
		b) Distinguish relevant from irrelevant information, identify missing information, and either find what is needed or make appropriate approximations.	
		c) * Recognize, use, and distinguish between the processes of mathematical (deterministic) and statistical modeling.	
		d) Recognize when arguments based on data confuse correlation with causation.	
		e) * Recognize and explain the potential errors caused by extrapolating from data.	

Exhibit 6 (continued). Data analysis, statistics, and probability

* Objectives that describe mathematics content beyond that typically taught in a standard 3-year course of study (the equivalent of 1 year of geometry and 2 years of algebra).

Algebra

Algebra was pioneered in the Middle Ages by mathematicians in the Middle East and Asia as a method of solving equations easily and efficiently by manipulation of symbols, rather than by the earlier geometric methods of the Greeks. The two approaches were eventually united in the analytic geometry of René Descartes. Modern symbolic notation, developed in the Renaissance, greatly enhanced the power of the algebraic method and from the 17th century forward, algebra in turn promoted advances in all branches of mathematics and science.

The widening use of algebra led to study of its formal structure. Out of this were gradually distilled the "rules of algebra," a compact summary of the principles behind algebraic manipulation. A parallel line of thought produced a simple but flexible concept of function and also led to the development of set theory as a comprehensive background for mathematics. When taken liberally to include these ideas, algebra reaches from the foundations of mathematics to the frontiers of current research. These two aspects of algebra—as a powerful representational tool and as a vehicle for comprehensive concepts such as function—form the basis for the expectations throughout the grades. By grade 4, students should be able to recognize and extend simple numeric patterns as one foundation for a later understanding of function. They can begin to understand the meaning of equality and some of its properties as well as the idea of an unknown quantity as a precursor to the concept of variable.

As students move into middle school, the ideas of function and variable become more important. Representation of functions as patterns, via tables, verbal descriptions, symbolic descriptions, and graphs, can combine to promote a flexible grasp of the idea of function. Linear functions receive special attention. They connect to the ideas of proportionality and rate, forming a bridge that will eventually link arithmetic to calculus. Symbolic manipulation in the relatively simple context of linear equations is reinforced by other means of finding solutions, including graphing by hand or with calculators.

In high school, students should become comfortable manipulating and interpreting more complex expressions. The rules of algebra should come to be appreciated as a basis for reasoning. Nonlinear functions, especially quadratic, power, and exponential functions, are introduced to solve real-world problems. Students should become accomplished at translating verbal descriptions of problem situations into symbolic form. By grade 12, students should encounter expressions involving several variables, systems of linear equations, and solutions to inequalities.

1) Patterns, relations, and functions			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Recognize, describe, or extend numerical patterns.	a) Recognize, describe, or extend numerical and geometric patterns using tables, graphs, words, or symbols.	a) Recognize, describe, or extend numerical patterns, including arithmetic and geometric progressions.	
b) Given a pattern or sequence, construct or explain a rule that can generate the terms of the pattern or sequence.	b) Generalize a pattern appearing in a numerical sequence, table, or graph using words or symbols.	b) Express linear and exponential functions in recursive and explicit form given a table, verbal description, or some terms of a sequence.	
c) Given a description, extend or find a missing term in a pattern or sequence.	c) Analyze or create patterns, sequences, or linear functions given a rule.		

Exhibit	7.	Algebra	
		1	1

1) Patterns, relations, and functions (continued)			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
d) Create a different representation of a pattern or sequence given a verbal description.			
e) Recognize or describe a relationship in which quantities change proportionally.	e) Identify functions as linear or nonlinear or contrast distinguishing properties of functions from tables, graphs, or equations.	e) Identify or analyze distinguishing properties of linear, quadratic, rational, exponential, or *trigonometric functions from tables, graphs, or equations.	
	f) Interpret the meaning of slope or intercepts in linear functions.		
		g) Determine whether a relation, given in verbal, symbolic, tabular, or graphical form, is a function.	
		 h) Recognize and analyze the general forms of linear, quadratic, rational, exponential, or *trigonometric functions. 	
		i) Determine the domain and range of functions given in various forms and contexts.	
		j) * Given a function, determine its inverse if it exists and explain the contextual meaning of the inverse for a given situation.	

2) Algebraic representations				
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12		
a) Translate between the different forms of repre- sentations (symbolic, numerical, verbal, or pictorial) of whole number relationships (such as from a written description to an equation or from a function table to a written description).	a) Translate between different representations of linear expressions using symbols, graphs, tables, diagrams, or written descriptions.	a) Create and translate between different repre- sentations of algebraic ex- pressions, equations, and inequalities (e.g., linear, quadratic, exponential, or *trigonometric) using sym- bols, graphs, tables, diagrams, or written descriptions.		
	b) Analyze or interpret linear relationships expressed in symbols, graphs, tables, diagrams, or written descriptions.	b) Analyze or interpret relationships expressed in symbols, graphs, tables, diagrams (including Venn diagrams), or written descriptions and evaluate the relative advantages or disadvantages of different representations to answer specific questions.		
c) Graph or interpret points with whole number or letter coordinates on grids or in the first quadrant of the coordinate plane.	c) Graph or interpret points represented by ordered pairs of numbers on a rectangular coordinate system.			
	d) Solve problems involving coordinate pairs on the rectangular coordinate system.	d) Perform or interpret transformations on the graphs of linear, quadratic, exponential, and *trigonometric functions.		
		e) Make inferences or predictions using an algebraic model of a situation.		

2) Algebraic representations (continued)		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
	f) Identify or represent functional relationships in meaningful contexts including proportional, linear, and common nonlinear (e.g., compound interest, bacterial growth) in tables, graphs, words, or symbols.	f) Given a real-world situation, determine if a linear, quadratic, rational, exponential, logarithmic, or *trigonometric function fits the situation.
		g) Solve problems involving exponential growth and decay.
		h) *Analyze properties of exponential, logarithmic, and rational functions.
3) Variables, expressions, and operations		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Use letters and symbols to represent an unknown quantity in a simple mathematical expression.		
b) Express simple mathematical relationships using number sentences.	b) Write algebraic expressions, equations, or inequalities to represent a situation.	b) Write algebraic expressions, equations, or inequalities to represent a situation.
	c) Perform basic operations, using appropriate tools, on linear algebraic expressions (including grouping and order of multiple operations involving basic operations, exponents, roots, simplifying, and expanding).	c) Perform basic operations, using appropriate tools, on algebraic expressions including polynomial and rational expressions.
		d) Write equivalent forms of algebraic expressions, equations, or inequalities to represent and explain mathematical relationships.

3) Variables, expressions, and operations (continued)		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
		e) Evaluate algebraic expressions including polynomials and rational expressions.
		f) Use function notation to evaluate a function at a specified point in its domain and combine functions by addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and composition.
		g) * Determine the sum of finite and infinite arithmetic and geometric series.
		h) Use basic properties of exponents and *logarithms to solve problems.
4) Equations and inequalitie	S	
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
a) Find the value of the unknown in a whole number sentence.	a) Solve linear equations or inequalities (e.g., $ax + b = c$ or ax + b = cx + d or $ax + b > c$).	a) Solve linear, rational, or quadratic equations or inequalities, including those involving absolute value.
	b) Interpret "=" as an equivalence between two expressions and use this interpretation to solve problems.	
	c) Analyze situations or solve problems using linear equations and inequalities with rational coefficients sym- bolically or graphically (e.g., $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$ or $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}$).	c) Analyze situations, develop mathematical models, or solve problems using linear, quadratic, exponential, or logarithmic equations or inequalities symbolically or graphically.

4) Equations and inequalities (continued)			
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
	d) Interpret relationships between symbolic linear expressions and graphs of lines by identifying and computing slope and intercepts (e.g., know in $y = ax + b$, that <u>a</u> is the rate of change and <u>b</u> is the vertical intercept of the graph).	d) Solve (symbolically or graphically) a system of equations or inequalities and recognize the relationship between the analytical solution and graphical solution.	
	 e) Use and evaluate common formulas (e.g., relationship between a circle's circum- ference and diameter [C = pi d], distance and time under constant speed). 	e) Solve problems involving special formulas such as: $A = P(I + r)^t$ or $A = Pe^{rt}$.	
		f) Solve an equation or formula involving several variables for one variable in terms of the others.	
		g) Solve quadratic equations with complex roots.	
5) Mathematical reasoning i	n algebra		
Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
a) Verify a conclusion using algebraic properties.	a) Make, validate, and justify conclusions and generalizations about linear relationships.	a) Use algebraic properties to develop a valid mathematical argument.	
		b) Determine the role of hypotheses, logical implications, and conclusions in algebraic argument.	
		c) Explain the use of relational conjunctions (and, or) in algebraic arguments.	

CHAPTER THREE

MATHEMATICAL COMPLEXITY OF ITEMS

Each NAEP item assesses an objective that can be associated with a content area of mathematics, such as Number Properties and Operations or Geometry. Each item also makes certain demands on students' thinking. These demands determine the mathematical complexity of an item, which is the second dimension of the mathematics framework. The three levels of mathematical complexity in NAEP assessment are low, moderate, and high.

The demands on thinking that an item expects—what it asks the student to recall, understand, reason about, and do—assume that students are familiar with the mathematics of the task. For example, a task with low complexity might ask students simply to state the formula to find the distance between two points. Those students who had never learned anything about distance formula would not be successful on the task even though the demands were low. Items are developed for administration at a given grade level on the basis of the framework, and complexity of those items is independent of the particular curriculum a student has experienced.

Mathematical complexity deals with *what* the students are asked to do in a task. It does not take into account *how* they might undertake it. In the distance formula task, for instance, students who had studied the formula might simply reproduce it from memory. Others, however, who could not recall the exact formula might end up deriving it from the Pythagorean theorem, engaging in a different kind of thinking than the task presupposed.


The categories—low complexity, moderate complexity, and high complexity—form an ordered description of the demands an item may make on a student. Items at the low level of complexity, for example, may ask a student to recall a property. At the moderate level, an item may ask the student to make a connection between two properties; at the high level, an item may ask a student to analyze the assumptions made in a mathematical model. This is an example of the distinctions made in item complexity to provide balance in the item pool. The ordering is not intended to imply that mathematics is learned or should be taught in such an ordered way. Using levels of complexity to describe that dimension of each item allows for a balance of mathematical thinking in the design of the assessment.

The mathematical complexity of an item is not directly related to its format (multiple choice, short constructed response, or extended constructed response). Items requiring that the student generate a response tend to make somewhat heavier demands on students than items requiring a choice among alternatives, but that is not always the case. Any type of item can deal with mathematics of greater or less depth and sophistication. There are selected-response items that assess complex mathematics, and constructed-response items can be crafted to assess routine mathematical ideas.

The remainder of this chapter gives brief descriptions of each level of complexity as well as examples from previous NAEP assessments to illustrate each level. A brief rationale is included to explain why an item is so classified. All example items found in this chapter can also be found in *Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment,* where they are accompanied by full scoring rubrics. That document also contains other examples and more detailed discussion of the complexity levels.

Items in the NAEP Mathematics Assessment should be balanced according to levels of complexity as described in more detail in chapter five. The ideal balance should be as follows.


Exhibit 8. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity



LOW COMPLEXITY

Low-complexity items expect students to recall or recognize concepts or procedures specified in the framework. Items typically specify what the student is to do, which is often to carry out some procedure that can be performed mechanically. The student is not left to come up with an original method or to demonstrate a line of reasoning. The following examples have been classified at the low-complexity level.

Example 1: Low Complexity Grade 4 Number Properties and Operations: Number sense	Source: 1996 NAEP 4M9 #1 Percent correct: 50% No calculator
How many fourths make a whole? Answer:	Correct answer: 4
Rationale: This item is of low complexity since it explicitly asks students to recognize an example of a concept (four-fourths make a whole).	



Example 4: Low Complexity Grade 8 Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability: Characteristics of data sets	Source: 2005 NAEP 8M12 #6 Percent correct: 51% No calculator	
Data Analysis, Statistics, and Frobability. Characteristics of data sets	No calculator	
The prices of gasoline in a certain region are \$1.41, \$1.36, \$1.57, and \$1.45 per gallon. What is the median price per gallon for gasoline in this region?		
A. \$1.41 B. \$1.43 C. \$1.44		
D. \$1.45 E. \$1.47	Correct answer: B	
Rationale: Students do not have to decide what to do, but rather, they need to recall the concept of a median and the procedure for handling a set of data with an even number of entries.		
Example 5: Low Complexity	Source: 2005 NAEP B3M3#12	
Grade 12 Algebra: Equations and inequalities	Percent correct: 31% No calculator	
x + 2y = 17		
x - 2y = 3		
, , ,		
The graphs of the two equations shown above intersect at the point (x, y) . What is the value of x at the point of intersection?		

The graphs of the two equations shown above intersect at the point (x, y). What is the value of x at the point of intersection?

A. 3¹/₂ B. 5 C. 7 D. 10 E. 20 Correct answer: D 1010

Rationale: This item is of low complexity since it involves a procedure that should be carried out mechanically by grade 12.


Example 6: Low Complexity	
Grade 12	
Algebra: Variables, expressions, and operations	

Source: 2005 NAEP B3M3 #16 Percent correct: 26% No calculator

If $f(x) = x^2 + x$ and g(x) = 2x + 7, what is the expression for f(g(x))?

Correct answer: $4x^2 + 30x + 56$

Rationale: Although the content of the task could be considered advanced, it involves recognizing the notation for composition of two functions and carrying out a procedure.



MODERATE COMPLEXITY

Items in the moderate-complexity category involve more flexibility of thinking and choice among alternatives than do those in the low-complexity category. The student is expected to decide what to do and how to do it, bringing together concepts and processes from various domains. For example, the student may be asked to represent a situation in more than one way, to draw a geometric figure that satisfies multiple conditions, or to solve a problem involving multiple unspecified operations. Students might be asked to show or explain their work but would not be expected to justify it mathematically. The following examples are items that have been classified at the moderate complexity level.

Example 8: Moderate Complexity	Source: 2005 NAEP 4M4 #12
Grade 4	Percent correct: 34% (full credit)
Algebra: Equations and inequalities	22% (partial credit)
	No calculator, tiles provided

Questions 11–14 [these questions included this item] refer to the number tiles or the paper strip. Please remove the 10 number tiles and the paper strip from your packet and put them on your desk.


Jan entered four numbers less than 10 on his calculator. He forgot what his second and fourth numbers were. This is what he remembered doing.

List a pair of numbers that could have been the second and fourth numbers. (You may use the number tiles to help you.)

List a different pair that could have been the second and fourth numbers.


Correct answer: Any two of
these combinations:
(0,9) (9,0)
(1,8) (8,1)
(2,7) (7,2)
(3,6) (6,3)
(4,5)(5,4)

Rationale: This item is of moderate complexity because students have to decide what to do and how to do it. It requires some flexibility in thinking since students at this grade level are not expected to have a routine method to determine two missing numbers and they also have to find two different solutions.



choices because of knowledge of dogs and clubs and the structure of the graph (five sets of data) in order to choose an appropriate title for the graph.

Example 10: Moderate Complexity Grade 8 Measurement: Measuring physical attributes Source: 2005 NAEP 8M3 #3 Percent correct: 44% (full credit), 13% (partial credit) No calculator, ruler provided



The figure above shows a picture and its frame.

In the space below, draw a rectangular picture 2 inches by 3 inches and draw a 1-inch wide frame around it.

Rationale: Students must plan their drawing, decide whether to begin with the inside or outside rectangle, and determine how the other rectangle is related to the one chosen. Often creating a drawing that satisfies several conditions is more complex than describing a given figure.

Example 11: Moderate Complexity Grade 8 Algebra: Patterns, relations, and functions Source: 2005 NAEP 8M3 #10 Percent correct: 34% No calculator

In the equation y = 4x, if the value of x is increased by 2, what is the effect on the value of y?

- A. It is 8 more than the original amount
- B. It is 6 more than the original amount
- C. It is 2 more than the original amount
- D. It is 16 times the original amount
- E. It is 8 times the original amount

Correct answer: A

Rationale: This item is of moderate complexity because it involves more flexibility and a choice of alternative ways to approach the problem rather than a low complexity level, which more clearly states what is to be done. At grade 8, students have not learned a procedure for answering this type of question.

Example 12: Moderate Complexity	Source: 2005 NAEP 8M3 #14
Grade 8	Percent correct: 28%
Geometry: Relationships in geometric figures	No calculator

A certain 4-sided figure has the following properties.

- Only one pair of opposite sides are parallel
- Only one pair of opposite sides are equal in length
- The parallel sides are not equal in length


Which of the following must be true about the sides that are equal in length?

- A. They are perpendicular to each other
- B. They are each perpendicular to an adjacent side
- C. They are equal in length to one of the other two sides
- D. They are not equal in length to either of the other two sides
- E. They are not parallel

Correct answer: E

Rationale: This item is of moderate complexity since it requires some visualization and reasoning but no mathematical justification for the answer chosen.

Grade 12 Number Properties and Operations: Number operations	Source: 2005 NAEP B3M3 Percent correct: 22% No calculator
The remainder when a number <i>n</i> is divided by 7 is 2. the remainder when $2n + 1$ is divided by 7?	Which of the following is
A. 1 B. 2 C. 3	
D. 4 E. 5	Correct answer: E
Rationale: Although the problem could be approached algo some whole number \mathbf{m} , and $2\mathbf{n} + 1 = 2(7\mathbf{m} + 2) + 1$ or $14\mathbf{n}$ students can solve the problem by using a value for \mathbf{n} that has a remainder of 2 when divided by 7. If the students we solution algebraically, then this would be an item of high \mathbf{c}	n + 5, so the remainder is 5), satisfies the condition that it re asked to justify their
Example 14: Moderate Complexity	Source: 2005 NAEP B3M12 #15
Grade 12 Measurement: Measuring physical attributes	Percent correct: 41% Calculator available
A cat lies crouched on level ground 50 feet away from cat can see a bird's nest directly above the base of the elevation from the cat to the bird's nest is 40°. To the above the base of the tree is the bird's nest?	ne tree. The angle of
A. 32 B. 38	
C. 42	
D. 60 E. 65	Correct answer: C
Rationale: Students must draw or visualize the situation, re trigonometric function, and use a calculator to determine the	11 1



Rationale: Students must recall information about the normal curve (that the region between the mean ± 2 standard deviations contains 95 percent of the data), and apply that information to solve the problem.

HIGH COMPLEXITY

High-complexity items make heavy demands on students, because they are expected to use reasoning, planning, analysis, judgment, and creative thought. Students may be expected to justify mathematical statements or construct a mathematical argument. Items might require students to generalize from specific examples. Items at this level take more time than those at other levels due to the demands of the task, not due to the number of parts or steps. In the example items at the moderate level, several suggestions were made in the rationale that would classify the items at a high level of complexity. The following examples are items that have been classified at the high-complexity level.

Example 16: High Complexity Grade 4 Algebra: Patterns, relations, and functions Source: 2003 NAEP 4M7 #20 Percent correct: 3% (extended), 6% (satisfactory), 13% (partial), 27% (minimal) Calculator available

The table below shows how the chirping of a cricket is related to the temperature outside. For example, a cricket chirps 144 times each minute when the temperature is 76°.

Number of Chirps	Temperature
Per Minute	
144	76°
152	78°
160	80°
168	82°
176	84°

What would be the number of chirps per minute when the temperature outside is 90° if this pattern stays the same?

Answer: _____


Explain how you figured out your answer.

Correct answer: 200


Rationale: To receive full credit for this item, students must give the correct number of chirps and explain that for every 2-degree rise in the temperature, the number of chirps increases by eight. The item requires creative thought for students at this grade as well as planning a solution strategy. Additionally, it requires a written justification of their answer, more than just showing work.

Example 17: High Complexity Grade 8 Algebra: Patterns, relations, and functions Source: 2005 NAEP 8M4 #11 Percent correct: 12% (full credit), 24% (partial credit) No calculator

If the grid in Question 10 [the previous question] were large enough and the beetle continued to move in the same pattern [over 2 and up 1], would the point that is 75 blocks up and 100 blocks over from the starting point be on the beetle's path?



Rationale: Students must justify their yes or no answer by using the concept of slope showing that moving over 2 and up 1 repeatedly would result in the beetle being at a point 100 blocks over and 50 blocks up. This requires analysis of the situation as well as a mathematical explanation of the thinking. Since it is not realistic to extend the grid, students are expected to generalize about the ratio.



Example 19: High Complexity Grade 12 Number Properties and Operations: Number sense

Source: Modified NAEP item No calculator

Which of the following is false for all values of *x* if *x* is any real number?

A. $x < x^2 < x^3$ B. $x^3 < x < x^2$ C. $x^2 < x < x^3$ D. $x < x^3 < x^{2^2}$ E. $x^3 < x^2 < x$

Correct answer: C

Rationale: This selected-response item requires planning, deciding what strategy to use, and reasoning about which statement is always false.


Additional examples of items and their classifications can be found in *Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment* as well as on the National Center for Education Statistics' website: nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/itmrlsx/. All the released items from recent mathematics assessments can be accessed from this site. The complexity classification is available only for items beginning with the 2005 assessment, because it was the first year that the framework specified this dimension.

CHAPTER FOUR

ITEM FORMATS

Central to the development of the NAEP assessment in mathematics is the careful selection of items. Since 1992, the NAEP Mathematics Assessment has used three formats or item types: multiple choice, short constructed response, and extended constructed response. In 2017, the NAEP Mathematics Assessment begins to include these item types in a digital platform, as part of the NAEP transition to digital-based assessment. The transition to digital administration provides opportunities to expand the range of formats used for these types of items. Testing time on the NAEP Mathematics Assessment is divided evenly between selected-response items and both types of constructed-response items, as shown below.


Exhibit 9. Percent of testing time by item formats



Selected-Response Items

Selected-response items require students to read, reflect, or compute and then to select the alternative that best expresses the answer. This format is appropriate for quickly determining whether students have achieved certain knowledge and skills. A carefully constructed selected-response item can assess any of the levels of mathematical complexity (described in chapter three) from simple procedures to more sophisticated concepts. Such items, however, are limited in the extent to which they can provide evidence of the depth of students' thinking. Selected-response items in the more traditional multiplechoice format for grade 4 have four choices, and at grades 8 and 12, there are five choices. These items are scored as either correct or incorrect. Selected-response items have a variety of formats, some of which allow for more than one correct response. In a digital platform, response modes can also vary, allowing students to indicate their responses using drag and drop features of the digital platform, for example.

Types of item formats are illustrated in this chapter. The presentations of the items often have been reduced, but students have ample space to work and to respond in the actual NAEP assessment administered.



NAEP 2017 MATHEMATICS FRAMEWORK

SHORT CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE ITEMS

To provide more reliable and valid opportunities for extrapolating about students' approaches to problems, NAEP assessments include items often referred to as short constructed-response items. These are short-answer items that require students to give either a numerical result or the correct name or classification for a group of mathematical objects, draw an example of a given concept, or possibly write a brief explanation for a given result. Short constructed-response items may be scored correct, incorrect, or partially correct, depending on the nature of the problem and the information gained from students' responses.

Example 3: Short Constructed Response	Source: 2003 NAEP 8M7 #13
Grade 8	Percent correct: 19%
Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability: Characteristics of data sets	Calculator available

Score	Number of Students		
90	1		
80	3		
70	4		
60	0		
50	3		

The table above shows the scores of a group of 11 students on a history test. What is the average (mean) score of the group to the nearest whole number?

Answer: _____

The scoring guide below describes one correct answer.

Scoring Guide

1 – Correct response: 69

0 – Incorrect

Example 4: Short Constructed Response Grade 4 Algebra: Patterns, relations, and functions

Source: 2003 NAEP 4M7 #6 Percent correct: 29%, 51% (incorrect), 17% (partial) Calculator available

A schoolyard contains only bicycles and wagons like those in the figure below.



On Tuesday, the total number of wheels in the schoolyard was 24. There are several ways this could happen.

a. How many bicycles and how many wagons could there be for this to happen?

Number of bicycles ______ Number of wagons ______

 b. Find another way that this could happen. Number of bicycles ______
 Number of wagons ______

Scoring Guide

Solution:

Any two of the following correct responses:

0 bicycles, 6 wagons

2 bicycles, 5 wagons

4 bicycles, 4 wagons

6 bicycles, 3 wagons

- 8 bicycles, 2 wagons
- 10 bicycles, 1 wagon

12 bicycles, 0 wagons

2 – Correct

Two correct responses

1 – Partial

One correct response, for either part a or part b

OR


Same correct response in both parts

0 – **Incorrect:** Any incorrect or incomplete response

EXTENDED CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE ITEMS

Extended constructed-response items require students to consider a situation that requires more than a numerical response or a short verbal communication. Extended constructed-response items have more parts to the response and the student is expected to take more time to complete them. The student may be asked, for example, to describe a situation, analyze a graph or table of values or an algebraic equation, or compute specific numerical values.

Extended constructed-response items are scored at either four or five levels.



The scoring guide has five possible levels, ranging from the most complete and mathematically correct response to a response that was incorrect.

Scoring Guide				
Solution:				
7 boxes				
Correct process for solution includes evidence of each of the following (may be implied				
or explicit):				
a) measuring dimensions correctly (getting 2.5 inches and 4 inches) (if centimeters				
are used the measure must be exact)				
b) converting to feet correctly (getting 10 feet and 16 feet)				
c) finding the area (160 square feet)				
d) dividing by 25 to find the number of boxes (6.4)				
(Note: Steps b and c may be interchanged; if done this would yield 10 square inches and				
60 square feet, respectively)				
4 – Extended				
Correct response				
3 – Satisfactory				
Response contains correct complete process as outlined above (a through d) but has a				
minor error (such as dimensions in inches are measured incorrectly OR the answer to				
the scale conversion is incorrect OR one other minor computational error OR does not round)				
2 – Partial				
7 with no explanation OR response contains correct complete process as outlined				
above (a through d) but has a major conceptual error (such as use of incorrect conver-				
sion factor OR use of perimeter [52] instead of area OR perceives the floor as a square				
and performs all 4 steps)				
1 – Minimal				
6.4 with no explanation				
OR				
Measures 2.5 inches and 4 inches correctly and gets 10 square inches for area				
OR				
Measures 2.5 inches correctly and converts correctly to 10 feet and 16 feet (may also				
indicate area is 160 square feet)				
0 – Incorrect				
Any incorrect response				

SCORING CONSTRUCTED-RESPONSE ITEMS

Since 1996, each constructed-response item has had a unique scoring guide that defines the criteria used to evaluate students' responses. Many of the short constructed-response items are rated according to guides that permit partial credit as seen in Example 4: Short Constructed Response. Other short constructed-response items are scored as either correct or incorrect.

The extended constructed-response items are evaluated with scoring guides refined from a sample of actual student responses from pilot testing. The scoring guide used follows a multiple-point format similar to one shown for Example 5: Extended Constructed Response.

Additional information about the NAEP Mathematics Assessment can be found at www.nagb.gov and https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard, including additional samples of each of the types of items described in this chapter.

CHAPTER FIVE

DESIGN OF TEST AND ITEMS

The NAEP Mathematics Assessment is complex in its structure. The design of the assessment demands that multiple features stay in balance. The test items should adequately cover a broad range of content, balanced at each grade level according to the required distribution for each content area. At the same time, items make differing demands on students according to how mathematically complex they are. This, too, requires balance. The assessments also need to be balanced according to three item formats: multiple choice, short constructed response, and extended constructed response. An additional balance issue involves the mathematical setting of the item, whether it is purely mathematical or set in a real-world context.

Other features of both the test and the items are important in the design of a valid and reliable assessment. These include how sampling is used in the design of NAEP, the use of calculators, and the use of manipulatives and other tools. Of critical importance is the issue of accessibility for all students, which is addressed in several different ways. A final design feature is the use of families of items. This chapter describes each of these features and issues.

BALANCE OF CONTENT

As described in chapter two, each NAEP mathematics item is developed to measure one of the objectives, which are organized into five major content areas. The table below shows the distribution of items by grade and content area. See chapter two for more details.

Content Area	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12	
Number Properties and Operations	40	20	10	
Measurement	20	15	- 30	
Geometry	15	20		
Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability	10	15	25	
Algebra	15	30	35	

Exhibit 10. Percent distribution of items by grade and content area


BALANCE OF MATHEMATICAL COMPLEXITY

As described in chapter three, items are classified according to the level of demands they make on students; this is known as mathematical complexity. Each item is considered to be at one of three levels of complexity: low, moderate, or high.

The ideal balance sought for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment is not necessarily the balance one would wish for curriculum or instruction in mathematics education. Balance here must be considered in the context of the constraints of an assessment such as NAEP. These constraints include the timed nature of the test and its format, whether paper-and-pencil or digital. Items of high complexity, for example, often take more time to complete. At the same time, some items of all three types are essential to assess the full range of students' mathematical achievement.

The ideal balance would be that half of the total testing time on the assessment is spent on items of moderate complexity, with the remainder of the total time spent equally on items of low and high complexity. This balance would apply for all three grade levels.


Exhibit 11. Percent of testing time at each level of complexity



BALANCE OF ITEM FORMATS

Items consist of three formats: multiple choice, short constructed response, and extended constructed response (see chapter three for an in-depth discussion of each type). Testing time on NAEP is divided evenly between selected-response items and both types of constructed-response items as shown below.

Exhibit 12. Percent of testing time by item formats



The design of the assessment must take into account the amount of time students are expected to spend on each format.

BALANCE OF ITEM CONTEXTS

Just as mathematics can be separated into pure and applied mathematics, NAEP items should seek a balance of items that measure students' knowledge within both realms. Therefore, some items will deal with purely mathematical ideas and concepts, whereas others will be set in the context of real-world problems. The 2017 NAEP Mathematics Assessment also uses the affordances of digital assessment with some items presented in contexts as scenario-based tasks.

In the two pairs of examples below, the first item is purely mathematical, whereas the second is set in the context of a real-world problem.

Example Pair 1: Pure Mathematical Setting Grade 4 Number Properties and Operations: Number operations	Source: 2005 NAEP 4M4 #1 Percent correct: 76% No calculator
Subtract:	
972 46	
	Correct answer: 926
Answer:	

Example Pair 1: Contextual Mathematical Setting Grade 4 Number Properties and Operations: Number operations	Source: 2005 NAEP 4M12 #4 Percent correct: 80% No calculator
There are 30 people in the music room. There are 74 How many more people are in the cafeteria than the r	• •
A. 40 B. 44 C. 54 D. 104	Correct answer: B

Both items involve computation. In the first item the operation is specified. In the other item, the students must interpret the contextual situation, recognize that it calls for finding the difference between 74 and 30, and then compute.

Example Pair 2: Pure Mathematical Setting Grade 12 Measurement: Measuring physical attributes	Source: Modified NAEP Item Calculator available
In the triangle below $\overline{AC} = 50$ feet and $m \angle C = 40^{\circ}$.	,
What is the length of \overline{AB} to the nearest foot? Answer:	Correct answer: 42 feet
Example Pair 2: Contextual Mathematical Problem Grade 12 Measurement: Measuring physical attributes	Source: 2005 NAEP B3M12#15 Percent correct: 41% Calculator available
A cat lies crouched on level ground 50 feet away from the base of a tree. The cat can see a bird's nest directly above the base of the tree. The angle of elevation from the cat to the bird's nest is 40°. To the nearest foot, how far above the base of the tree is the bird's nest?	
A. 32 B. 38 C. 42 D. 60 E. 65	Correct answer: C

SAMPLING

Since the set of content objectives described in chapter two would constitute too many items for a single test given to all students, the design of NAEP allows for matrix sampling. This means that there are multiple forms of the test. Items are distributed so that students taking part in the assessment do not all receive the same items. In NAEP mathematics, students take two 25-minute blocks (sets of items). Matrix sampling greatly increases the capacity to obtain information across a much broader range of the objectives than would otherwise be possible. Not only items, but also schools and students, are sam-

Mathematics Assessment for more details about how the representative samples of schools and students are chosen.

CALCULATORS

The assessment contains blocks of items for which calculators are not allowed and other blocks that contain some items that would be difficult to solve without a calculator. At each grade level, approximately two-thirds of the blocks measure students' mathematical knowledge and skills without access to a calculator; the other third allow use of a calculator. The type of calculator students may use varies by grade level, as follows:

- At grade 4, a four-function calculator is supplied to students, with training at the time of administration.
- At grade 8, a scientific calculator is supplied to students, with training at the time of administration.
- At grade 12, students are allowed to bring whatever calculator, graphing or otherwise, they are accustomed to using in the classroom with some restrictions for test security purposes. For students who do not bring a calculator to use on the assessment, NAEP will provide a scientific calculator.

In the 2017 digital platform for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment, supplied calculators are virtual, presented to students within the digital environment of the assessment. No items at either grade 8 or grade 12 will be designed to provide an advantage to students with a graphing calculator. Estimated time required for any item should be based on the assumption that students are not using a graphing calculator. Items are categorized according to the degree to which a calculator is useful in responding to the item:

A calculator-inactive item is one whose solution neither requires nor suggests the use of a calculator.

Example: Calculator-Inactive Item Grade 8 Geometry: Transformation of shapes and preservation of properties	Source: 2005 NAEP 8M3 #4 Percent correct: 86% Calculator available
The paper tube in the figure above is to be cut along up. What will be the shape of the flattened piece of p	
Answer:	Correct answer: Rectangle or square

A calculator is not necessary for solving a calculator-neutral item; however, given the option, some students might choose to use one.

Example: Calculator-Neutral Item Grade 8 Algebra: Patterns, relations, and functions	Source: 2005 NAEP 8M3 #12 Percent correct: 60% Calculator available
1 + 3 = 4 1 + 3 + 5 = 9 1 + 3 + 5 + 7 = 16 1 + 3 + 5 + 7 + 9 = 25 According to the pattern suggested by the four exam	
consecutive odd integers are required to give a sum	
A. 9 B. 12	
C. 15	Correct answer: B
D. 36	
E. 72	

A calculator is necessary or very helpful in solving a calculator-active item; a student would find it very difficult to solve the problem without the aid of a calculator.

Example: Calculator-Active Item Grade 12 Measurement: Measuring physical attributes	Source: 2005 NAEP 3M12 #15 Percent correct: 41% Calculator available
A cat lies crouched on level ground 50 fe can see a bird's nest directly above the b from the cat to the bird's nest is 40°. To t of the tree is the bird's nest?	base of the tree. The angle of elevation
A. 32 B. 38	
C. 42	Correct answer: C

D. 60 E. 65

MANIPULATIVES AND TOOLS

The assessment uses reasonable manipulative materials where possible in measuring students' ability to represent their understandings and to use tools to solve problems. Examples of manipulative materials include number tiles, geometric shapes, rulers, and protractors. The manipulative materials and accompanying tasks are carefully chosen to cause minimal disruption of the test administration process, and the digital-based environment of the 2017 assessment begins to present several of these tools virtually.

In the following example, number tiles are provided to the student.

Example: Number Tiles Provided	Source: 2005 NAEP 4M4 #11
Grade 4	Percent correct: 47% (correct),
Number Properties and Operations: Number operations	42% (partially correct) No calculator; tiles provided


This question refers to the number tiles. Please remove the 10 number tiles and the paper strip from your packet and put them on your desk.

Audrey used only the number tiles with the digits 2, 3, 4, 6, and 9. She placed one tile in each box below so the difference was 921.


Write the numbers in the boxes below to show where Audrey placed the tiles.

3

2



In the next example, students are provided with a protractor.



ACCESSIBILITY

The NAEP Mathematics Assessment is designed to measure the achievement of students across the nation. Therefore, it should allow students who have learned mathematics in a variety of ways, following different curricula and using different instructional materials; students who have mastered the content to varying degrees; students with disabilities; and students who are English language learners to demonstrate their content knowledge and skill. The related design issue is to determine a reasonable way to measure mathematics in the same way for students who come to the assessment with different experiences, strengths, and challenges; who approach mathematics from different perspectives; and who have different ways of displaying their knowledge and skill.

Two methods NAEP uses to design an accessible assessment program are developing the standard assessment so that it is accessible and providing accommodations for students with special needs. The first is addressed by careful item design. For many students with disabilities and students whose native language is not English, the standard administration of the NAEP assessment will be most appropriate. For other students with disabilities and some English language learners, the NAEP mathematics accommodations policy allows for a variety of accommodations, which can be used alone or in combination. These

accommodations include but are not limited to:

- One-on-one testing
- Small group testing
- Extended time
- Oral reading of directions
- Large-print
- Bilingual English/Spanish versions
- Use of an aide to transcribe responses

For more detailed information about item design and accommodations see Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP Mathematics Assessment.

ITEM FAMILIES

Item families are groups of related items designed to measure the depth of student knowledge within a particular content area (vertical item families) or the breadth of student understanding of specific concepts, principles, or procedures across content areas (horizontal item families). Within a family, items may cross content areas, vary in mathematical complexity, and cross grade levels.

Using item families in different ways provides for a more in-depth analysis of student performance than would a collection of discrete, unrelated items. For example, a family of items might be designed to see how students use proportional thinking in different mathematical contexts, such as geometry, algebra, and measurement. Another family might be designed to explore students' knowledge of a concept and their ability to apply that knowledge in increasingly sophisticated problem situations.

Achieve, Inc. (2000). *Foundations for Success: Mathematics for the Middle Grades* (consultation draft). Washington, DC: Mathematics Achievement Partnership.

Anderson, N.E., F.F. Jenkins, and K.E. Miller (1995). NAEP Inclusion Criteria and Testing Accommodations: Findings From the NAEP 1995 Field Test in Mathematics. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.

- Blank, R., and L.D. Wilson. (1999). *Improving Mathematics Education Using Results From NAEP and TIMSS*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.
- Bourque, M.L., and S. Byrd. (2000). *Student Performance Standards on the National Assessment of Educational Progress: Affirmation and Improvements*. Washington, DC: National Assessment Governing Board.
- Braswell, J.S., A.D. Lutkus, W.S. Grigg, S.L. Santapau, B. Tay-Lim, and M. Johnson. (August 2001). *The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Carlson, J.E., A.D. Lutkus, J. Mazzeo, and K.E. Voelkl (2000). *Increasing the Participation of Special Needs Students in NAEP*. Jessup, MD: U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement.
- Council for Basic Education (November 8, 2000). *Issues in Mathematics Education Assessment: NAEP Mathematics 2005.* Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.
- Diperna, P., and T. Loveless. (2000). *The Brown Center Report on American Education: How Well Are American Students Learning?* Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution.
- Dossey, J.A., J. Mazzeo, K.E. Miller, and C.M. Reese. (1997). *NAEP 1996 Mathematics Report Card for the Nation and the States*. Washington, DC: Educational Testing Service and National Center for Education Statistics.
- Educational Testing Service (1988). *Mathematics Objectives 1990 Assessment*. Princeton, NJ: Author.
- Findell, B., J. Kilpatrick, and J. Swafford. (2001). *Adding It Up: Helping Children Learn Mathematics*. Washington, DC: National Research Council.
- Kenney, P.A. (1999). An Analysis of the 1996 NAEP Mathematics Framework and Items for grade 8. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh, Learning Research and Development Center.
- Kenney, P.A., E.A. Silver, C. Alacaci, and J.S. Zawojewski. (1998). Content Analysis Project—State and NAEP Mathematics Assessment (final report). Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh, Learning Research and Development Center.
- Mazzeo, J., J. Carlson, K. Voelkl, and A. Lutkus (1999). Increasing the Participation of Special Needs Students in NAEP: A Report on 1996 Research Activities (NCES Publication No. 2000-473). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.

- Mitchell, J., E.F. Hawkins, F.B. Stancavage, and J.A. Dossey (1999). *Estimation Skills, Mathematics-in-Context, and Advanced Skills in Mathematics.* Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Mullis, I.V.S., M.O. Martin, T.A. Smith, R.A. Garden, K.D. Gregory, E.J. Gonzalez, S.J. Chrostowski, and K.M. O'Connor (2001). *TIMSS Assessment Frameworks and Specifications 2003*. Boston: Boston College, International Study Center.
- National Assessment Governing Board (2005). 2005 National Assessment of Educational Progress Mathematics Assessment Framework. Washington, DC: Author.
- National Assessment Governing Board (2005). 2005 National Assessment of Educational Progress Mathematics Assessment and Item Specifications. Washington, DC: Author.
- National Assessment Governing Board (1992). 1994 National Assessment of Educational Progress: Mathematics Assessment Framework and Specifications. Washington, DC: The College Board and Author.
- National Assessment Governing Board (1994). *Mathematics Framework for the 1996* and 2000 National Assessment of Educational Progress. Washington, DC: Author.
- Olson, J.F., and A.A. Goldstein (1997). The Inclusion of Students With Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students in Large-Scale Assessments: A Summary of Recent Progress (NCES Publication No. 97-482). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics.
- Silver, E., and P. Kenney (eds.) (2000). *Results From the Seventh Mathematics Assessment of the National Assessment of Educational Progress*. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- U.S. Department of Education (1999). *The NAEP Guide: A Description of the Content and Methods of the 1999 and 2000 Assessments*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics and U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2000). *Before It's Too Late*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Commission of Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century.

NAEP MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT-LEVEL DESCRIPTIONS

The NAEP achievement levels are cumulative; therefore, students performing at the *Pro-ficient* level also display the competencies associated with the *Basic* level, and students at the *Advanced* level also demonstrate the skills and knowledge associated with both the *Basic* and the *Proficient* levels. The cut score indicating the lower end of the score range for each level is noted in parentheses. Bold text is a short, general summary to describe performance at each achievement level.

MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT-LEVELS DESCRIPTIONS FOR GRADE 4

<i>Basic</i> (214)	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should show some evidence of understanding the mathematical concepts and procedures in the five NAEP content areas.
	Fourth-graders performing at the <i>Basic</i> level should be able to estimate and use basic facts to perform simple computations with whole numbers; show some understanding of fractions and decimals; and solve some simple real-world problems in all NAEP content areas. Students at this level should be able to use—although not always accurately—four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes. Their written responses are often minimal and presented without supporting information.
Proficient (249)	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should consist- ently apply integrated procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to problem solving in the five NAEP content areas.
	Fourth-graders performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should be able to use whole numbers to estimate, compute, and determine whether results are reasonable. They should have a conceptual understanding of fractions and decimals; be able to solve real-world problems in all NAEP content areas; and use four-function calculators, rulers, and geometric shapes appropriately. Students performing at the <i>Proficient</i> level should employ problem-solving strategies such as identifying and using appropriate information. Their written solutions should be organized and presented both with supporting information and explanations of how they were achieved.
Advanced (282)	Fourth-grade students performing at the <i>Advanced</i> level should apply integrated procedural knowledge and conceptual understanding to complex and nonroutine real-world problem solving in the five NAEP content areas.
	Fourth-graders performing at the <i>Advanced</i> level should be able to solve complex nonroutine real-world problems in all NAEP content areas. They should display mastery in the use of four-function calculators, rulers, and

geometric shapes. These students are expected to draw logical conclusions and justify answers and solution processes by explaining why, as well as how, they were achieved. They should go beyond the obvious in their interpretations and be able to communicate their thoughts clearly and concisely.

MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT-LEVELS DESCRIPTIONS FOR GRADE 8

BasicEighth-grade students performing at the Basic level should exhibit(262)evidence of conceptual and procedural understanding in the five NAEP
content areas. This level of performance signifies an understanding of
arithmetic operations—including estimation—on whole numbers, deci-
mals, fractions, and percents.

Eighth-graders performing at the *Basic* level should complete problems correctly with the help of structural prompts such as diagrams, charts, and graphs. They should be able to solve problems in all NAEP content areas through the appropriate selection and use of strategies and technological tools—including calculators, computers, and geometric shapes. Students at this level also should be able to use fundamental algebraic and informal geometric concepts in problem solving.

As they approach the *Proficient* level, students at the *Basic* level should be able to determine which of the available data are necessary and sufficient for correct solutions and use them in problem solving. However, these eighth-graders show limited skill in communicating mathematically.

ProficientEighth-grade students performing at the Proficient level should apply
mathematical concepts and procedures consistently to complex problems
in the five NAEP content areas.

Eighth-graders performing at the *Proficient* level should be able to conjecture, defend their ideas, and give supporting examples. They should understand the connections among fractions, percents, decimals, and other mathematical topics such as algebra and functions. Students at this level are expected to have a thorough understanding of *Basic* level arithmetic operations—an understanding sufficient for problem solving in practical situations.

Quantity and spatial relationships in problem solving and reasoning should be familiar to them, and they should be able to convey underlying reasoning skills beyond the level of arithmetic. They should be able to compare and contrast mathematical ideas and generate their own examples. These students should make inferences from data and graphs; apply properties of informal geometry; and accurately use the tools of technology. Students at this level should understand the process of gathering and organizing data and be able to calculate, evaluate, and communicate results within the domain of statistics and probability.

AdvancedEighth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should be able(333)to reach beyond the recognition, identification, and application of mathematical rules in order to generalize and synthesize concepts and principles in the five NAEP content areas.

Eighth-graders performing at the *Advanced* level should be able to probe examples and counterexamples in order to shape generalizations from which they can develop models. Eighth-graders performing at the *Advanced* level should use number sense and geometric awareness to consider the reasonableness of an answer. They are expected to use abstract thinking to create unique problem-solving techniques and explain the reasoning processes underlying their conclusions.

MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT-LEVELS DESCRIPTIONS FOR GRADE 12

BasicTwelfth-grade students performing at the Basic level should be able
to solve mathematical problems that require the direct application
of concepts and procedures in familiar mathematical and real-world
settings.

Students performing at the *Basic* level should be able to compute, approximate, and estimate with real numbers, including common irrational numbers. They should be able to order and compare real numbers and be able to perform routine arithmetic calculations with and without a scientific calculator or spreadsheet. They should be able to use rates and proportions to solve numeric and geometric problems.

At this level, students should be able to interpret information about functions presented in various forms, including verbal, graphical, tabular, and symbolic. They should be able to evaluate polynomial functions and recognize the graphs of linear functions. Twelfth-grade students should also understand key aspects of linear functions, such as slope and intercepts.

These students should be able to extrapolate from sample results; calculate, interpret, and use measures of center; and compute simple probabilities.

Students at this level should be able to solve problems involving area and perimeter of plane figures, including regular and irregular polygons, and involving surface area and volume of solid figures. They should also be able to solve problems using the Pythagorean theorem and using scale drawings. Twelfth-graders performing at the *Basic* level should be able to estimate, calculate, and compare measures, as well as to identify and compare properties of two- and three-dimensional figures. They should be able to solve routine problems using two-dimensional coordinate geometry, including calculating slope, distance, and midpoint. They should also be able to perform single translations or reflections of geometric figures in a plane.

Proficient Twelfth-grade students performing at the *Proficient* level should be

(176) able to recognize when particular concepts, procedures, and strategies are appropriate, and to select, integrate, and apply them to solve problems. They should also be able to test and validate geometric and algebraic conjectures using a variety of methods, including deductive reasoning and counterexamples.

Twelfth-grade students performing at the *Proficient* level should be able to compute, approximate, and estimate the values of numeric expressions using exponents (including fractional exponents), absolute value, order of magnitude, and ratios. They should be able to apply proportional reasoning, when necessary, to solve problems in nonroutine settings, and to understand the effects of changes in scale. They should be able to predict how transformations, including changes in scale, of one quantity affect related quantities.

These students should be able to write equivalent forms of algebraic expressions, including rational expressions, and use those forms to solve equations and systems of equations. They should be able to use graphing tools and to construct formulas for spreadsheets; to use function notation; and to evaluate quadratic, rational, piecewise-defined, power, and exponential functions. At this level, students should be able to recognize the graphs and families of graphs of these functions and to recognize and perform transformations on the graphs of these functions. They should be able to use properties of these functions to model and solve problems in mathematical and real-world contexts, and they should understand the benefits and limits of mathematical modeling. Twelfth graders performing at the *Proficient* level should also be able to translate between representations; to use appropriate representations to solve problems; and to use graphing tools and to construct formulas for spreadsheets.

Students performing at this level should be able to use technology to calculate summary statistics for distributions of data. They should be able to recognize and determine a method to select a simple random sample, identify a source of bias in a sample, use measures of center and spread of distributions to make decisions and predictions, describe the impact of linear transformations and outliers on measures of center, calculate combinations and permutations to solve problems, and understand the use of the normal distribution to describe real-world situations. Twelfth-grade students should be able to use theoretical probability to predict experimental outcomes involving multiple events.

These students should be able to solve problems involving right triangle trigonometry, use visualization in three dimensions, and perform successive transformations of a geometric figure in a plane. They should be able to understand the effects of transformations, including changes in scale, on corresponding measures and to apply slope, distance, and midpoint formulas to solve problems.

Advanced
(216)Twelfth-grade students performing at the Advanced level should
demonstrate in-depth knowledge of and be able to reason about math-
ematical concepts and procedures. They should be able to integrate
this knowledge to solve nonroutine and challenging problems, provide
mathematical justifications for their solutions, and make
generalizations and provide mathematical justifications for those gen-
eralizations. These students should reflect on their reasoning, and they
should understand the role of hypotheses, deductive reasoning, and
conclusions in geometric proofs and algebraic arguments made by
themselves and others. Students should also demonstrate this deep
knowledge and level of awareness in solving problems, using appropri-
ate mathematical language and notation.

Students at this level should be able to reason about functions as mathematical objects. They should be able to evaluate logarithmic and trigonometric functions and recognize the properties and graphs of these functions. They should be able to use properties of functions to analyze relationships and to determine and construct appropriate representations for solving problems, including the use of advanced features of graphing calculators and spreadsheets.

These students should be able to describe the impact of linear transformations and outliers on measures of spread (including standard deviation), analyze predictions based on multiple data sets, and apply probability and statistical reasoning to solve problems involving conditional probability and compound probability.

Twelfth-grade students performing at the *Advanced* level should be able to solve problems and analyze properties of three-dimensional figures. They should be able to describe the effects of transformations of geometric figures in a plane or in three dimensions, to reason about geometric properties using coordinate geometry, and to do computations with vectors and to use vectors to represent magnitude and direction.